HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation														29-30-2	20 47 -	097-18-50.36
Texas [48]		Gonzales County [177]				U	Unknown [00000] 2.55 MI E OF CR			228	228				29.508186	= -97.313989	
130900A	A0353003		Highway agency district: 13				Owner County Highway Agency [02]				Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			gency [02]			
Route 35		CO RD 353				Toll On free road [3]			Features intersected PEACH CREEK								
Design - main	u [10]			Design - approach	Steel [3] Stringer/Multi-beam or girde		am or girder [02]	Kilometer Year built	1910		10.3 km = 254.4 mi Year reconstructed N/A [0000]						
			<u> </u>						I significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]				100 1050				
Total length 33.5 m = 109.9 ft Length of maximum span 19.5 m = 64.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.2 m = 10.5 ft																	
		I Horizo	ontal Clea		3.2 m = 10		Cı	urb or sidewalk w	valk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width					lewalk width -	right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]																	
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber				er [/]													
Deck protection Unk			Jnknown [8]														
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]																	
Weight Li	mits																
Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi Method to dete			determi	ne inventory	rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]				Inver	Inventory rating 4.5 met		etric ton =	ton = 5.0 tons			
			determi	ne operating	rating					Oper	perating rating 7.3 metric ton =			= 8.0 tons			
Bridge posting										Design Load							

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 20 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 80 Year 2030										
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control										
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.96 m = 13.0 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 5000 Roadway improvement cost 1000										
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 41.8 m = 137.1 ft Total project cost 6000										
	Year of improvement cost estimate										
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficience	су			
Structure status New s	structure not	yet open to traffic [G]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - superst	ructure Fair	[5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - substru	cture Fair	[5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Fair	[5]		
Scour		Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour condition. [5]
Channel and channel prote	ection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	ed. River control devices	s and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the
Appraisal ratings - water a	dequacy	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of corrrective	ve action [3] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protectio	n			Sufficiency rating 0
Culverts Not applicable.	Used if struc	cture is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - ra	nilings			
Traffic safety features - tr	ansitions			
Traffic safety features - ap	oproach guar	drail		
Traffic safety features - ap	oproach guar	drail ends		
Inspection date	mber 2017 [1	217] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months
Underwater inspection	Not no	eeded [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date
Fracture critical inspection		two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date March 2018 [0318]
Other special inspection	Not no	eeded [N]	Other special inspe	pection date