## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2018 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											29-58-48.44 =	093-52-16.86
Texas [48]		Jim Hogg County [247]			Unk	Unknown [00000]		RAINBOW BRIDGE			29-30-40.44 = 29.980122	= -93.871350	
201240030603015		Highway agency district: 20			Ow	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Ma	aintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 87 SH 73			SH 73/	SH 87 WB		Toll On free road [3]			Featur	Features intersected NECHES RIVER			
Design - mainSteel continuous [4]3Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approachSteel con58Truss - De		Deck [09]		Kilometerpoint Year built 1939 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	239 Year reconstructed 1997   0 Structure Flared				
Total length     2349.1 m = 7707.4 ft     Length of maximum span     207.3 m = 680.2 ft     Deck width, out-to-out     9.1 m = 29.9 ft     Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb     8.5 m = 27.9 ft													
Inventory	Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft												
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]													
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concr				ncurrently placed with structural deck) [1]									
Deck protection Unknown [8]													
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]													
Weight Li	mits												
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			rating	No rating analysis or evaluation perfor			Inventor	ry rating	24.5 metric ton	= 27.0 tons			
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating				rating	No rating analysis or evaluation perfor			Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0 tons					
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load M						Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]						

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 16000 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 25600 Year 2036									
Road classification Principal Arterial - Other (Rural)	) [02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation The left structure of parallel bridges. This structure carries traffic in the opposite direction. [L]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure       0       Navigation control       Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]									
Navigation vertical clearanc 54 m = 177.2 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 182.9 m = 600.1 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 4.88 m = 16.0 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost432000Roadway improvement cost108000									
	Length of structure improvement2349.1 m = 7707.4 ftTotal project cost540000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status New structure	e not yet open to traffic		opraisal ratings - ructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Poor [4]		opraisal ratings - adway alignment	Equal to	to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]		.ppraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		eck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to presen	t desirable criteria	[8]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient	rally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	In place and fur	nctioning [2]			Sufficiency rating	42.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culv	ert. [N]								
		[]								
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature n	neets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature n	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature n								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends     Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Inspection date July 2016 [0716] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection date May 2014 [0514]										
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ir	spection dat	e October 2013	[1013]	]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special insp	pection date						