HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						32-16-19.07 =	097-39-50.14
Texas [48]	Somervell Count	ty [425]	Unknown [00000]	2.5 MI E OF FM-200		32.271964	= -97.663928
22130025903046	Highway a	gency district: 2	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 67	U	S 67 SB	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected BRAZOS R	RIVER	
Design - Steel contin	nuous [4]		continuous [4]	Kilometerpoint 455	km = 282.1 mi		
main Truco Thr	[10]	approach	or/Multi be one or girder [02]	Year built 1947	Year reconstructed 200	8	
Truss - Thr	u [10]	9 String	er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the	NRHP. [2]	
Total length 364.8 m	ı = 1196.9 ft	Length of maximum spa	76.2 m = 250.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 8.9 m = 29.2 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-co	8.3 m = 27.2 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Cleara	ance 8.3 m = 27.2 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]				
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]			
Deck protection		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	rcing [1]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Other [9]					
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengtl	Method to de	termine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating 32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to de	termine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 44.4 metric ton	= 48.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	g Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load M 18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 7680 Average daily to	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 7730 Year 2036									
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 11.6 m = 38.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation The right structure of parallel bridges carrying the roadway in the direction of the inventory. [R]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearanc $0 = N/A$ Navigation horizontal clearance $0 = N/A$										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.88 m = 16.0 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 5560000 Roadway improvement cost 4911000									
replacements. [60]	Length of structure improvement 364.8 m = 1196.9 ft Total project cost 10471000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations dete	ermined to be stable for the asse	essed or calculated scour con	dition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Superior to present des	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]		Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection				75.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpect	ed feature meets currently accep	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition		<u> </u>	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	ed feature meets currently accep						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends Inpect	ed feature meets currently accep	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date December 20)16 [1216] Designated	d inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	spection date November	2016 [1116]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	action data					