HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation						33-55-07.64 = 098-29-51.40			
Texas [48]		Wichita County [485]			Unknown [46050]		33.918789 = -98.497611			
32430004	4410063		Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner City or Mur	cipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility City	or Municipal Highway Agency [04]			
Route 28	37		BUS	S 287/LOOP 37) Toll (free road [3] Features intersected WICHITA RIVER				
Design - main	Concrete [1 Arch - Deck			Design - approach	Other [00]	Kilometerpoint 4537.9 km = 2813.5 mi Year built 1929 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared				
						Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP.	[2]			
Total leng	th 84.1 m :	= 275.	9 ft L	ength of maxim	um span 29 m = 95.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 16.2 m = 53.2 ft Bridge roadway w	width, curb-to-curb 12.2 m = 40.0 ft			
Inventory	Route, Total	l Horiz	ontal Clearan	ce 12.2 m = 4	0.0 ft Curb or sidewa	c width - left	width - right 1.5 m = 4.9 ft			
Deck struc	cture type			Concrete Cast-	in-Place [1]					
Type of we	earing surfa	ce		Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection		Unknown [8]								
Type of m	embrane/we	earing	surface	Unknown [8]						
Weight Li	mits									
7.	detour length	n N	Method to dete	rmine inventory	rating No rating analys	s or evaluation perfor Inventory rating 24.5 metric ton = 27.0	tons			
0.2 km =	0.1 mi	N	lethod to dete	rmine operating	rating No rating analys	s or evaluation perfor Operating rating 32.7 metric ton = 36.0	tons			
		В	ridge posting	Equal to or a	oove legal loads [5]	Design Load				

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 6460 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2013 Future average daily traf	fic 9050 Year 2033								
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 22000 Roadway	y improvement cost 6000								
replacements. [50]	Length of structure improvement 84.1 m = 275.9 ft	Total project cost 28000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well verequired or are in a stable cor	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection					69.9				
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach		ection frequency 24	Ma	onths					
Inspection date July 2016 [0] Underwater inspection	716] Designated inspending Not needed [N]			UHHIS					
·	Not needed [N]	•							
·	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							
The special inspection		o and opposite more							