The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]	Allegan County [005]		Manlius [50840] SEC. 17 MANL		JS TWP.		0.000000	0.000000
03314H00020B010 Highway agency district 5		Owner County Highwa	wner County Highway Agency [02]		responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 0 57TH STREET			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected KALAMAZO			O RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main  5 Truss - Th	ru [10]	Design - approach  8 String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built #Num Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure FI	onstructed 1980 ared son the NRHP. [1		
Total length 130.7 m = 428.8 ft Length of maximum span 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.9 m = 12.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.6 m = 11.8 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.6 m = 11.8 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type Type of wearing surfa Deck protection Type of membrane/w	w W	/ood or Timber [8] /ood or Timber [7]						
	caring surface							
Weight Limits  Bypass, detour length  0.6 km = 0.4 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating  Bridge posting  30.0 - 39.9 % below		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating 0 metric ton = 0.0 Operating rating 0 metric ton = 0.0 Design Load M 9 / H 10 [1]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 0 Average daily tr	uck traffi 7 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic 0 Year 2018							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.4 m = 17.7 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
deterioration of inducequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 160 m = 525.0 ft Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1996							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state 0							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	uiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	condition ratings - superstructur Serious [3]		Basically intolerable req	uiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable req	uiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]								
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evalua	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection	None present but re-evaluation	None present but re-evaluation suggested [5]		ating 19.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transitions									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date December 1998 [1298] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Unknown [N24] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N24]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection Unknown [N24] Other special inspection date									