

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Michigan [26] | St. Joseph County [149] | Fawn River [27540] | 3 MI. SE OF STURGIS | 00-00-00 = 0.000000 | 000-00-00 = 0.000000 |
| 78304H00014B010 | Highway agency district 5 | Owner County Highway Agency [02] | Maintenance responsibility | County Highway Agency [02] | |
| Route 0 | BIG HILL ROAD | Toll On free road [3] | Features intersected | FAWN RIVER | |
| Design - main Steel [3] | Design - approach | Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi | Year built 1905 | Year reconstructed N/A [0000] | |
| 1 | Truss - Thru [10] | 0 | Other [00] | Skew angle 0 | Structure Flared |
| | | Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1] | | | |
| Total length 25.6 m = 84.0 ft | Length of maximum span 25 m = 82.0 ft | Deck width, out-to-out 4.8 m = 15.7 ft | Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.8 m = 15.7 ft | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft | | | |
| Deck structure type | Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1] | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | |

Weight Limits

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Bypass, detour length 19.9 km = 12.3 mi | Method to determine inventory rating | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | Inventory rating | 1.1 metric ton = 1.2 tons |
| | Method to determine operating rating | Allowable Stress(AS) [2] | Operating rating | 1.5 metric ton = 1.7 tons |
| Bridge posting | 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3] | Design Load | | |

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for load [P]

Appraisal ratings -
structural

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Poor [4]

Appraisal ratings -
roadway alignment

Better than present minimum criteria [7]

Condition ratings - substructure

Poor [4]

Appraisal ratings -
deck geometry

N/A [N]

Condition ratings - deck

Poor [4]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]

Channel and channel protection

Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Better than present minimum criteria [7]

Status evaluation

Structurally deficient [1]

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

24.7

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

November 1997 [1197]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Unknown [N24]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Unknown [N24]

Fracture critical inspection date

Other special inspection

Unknown [N24]

Other special inspection date

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Michigan [26] St. Joseph County [149] Fawn River [27540] 3 MI SE OF STURGIS 41-45-49 = 41.763611 085-23-20 = - 85.388889

10334 Highway agency district 5 Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]

Route 0 BIG HILL ROAD Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FAWN RIVER

Design - main Prestressed concrete [5] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 46.3 km = 28.7 mi

1 Box beam or girders - Multiple [05] 0 Other [00] Year built 2007 Year reconstructed

Skew angle 0 Structure Flared

Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]

Total length 25.6 m = 84.0 ft Length of maximum span 25 m = 82.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.5 m = 31.2 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Precast Panels [2]

Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]

Deck protection

Type of membrane/wearing surface

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 20.1 km = 12.5 mi Method to determine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Inventory rating 40.6 metric ton = 44.7 tons

Method to determine operating rating Load Factor(LF) [1] Operating rating 67.7 metric ton = 74.5 tons

Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

| | | | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Structure status | <input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/> | Appraisal ratings - structural | <input type="text" value="Equal to present desirable criteria [8]"/> |
| Condition ratings - superstructure | <input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/> | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | <input type="text" value="Equal to present minimum criteria [6]"/> |
| Condition ratings - substructure | <input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/> | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | <input type="text" value="N/A [N]"/> |
| Condition ratings - deck | <input type="text" value="Very Good [8]"/> | | |
| Scour | <input type="text" value="Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]"/> | | |
| Channel and channel protection | <input type="text" value="Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]"/> | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequacy | <input type="text" value="Better than present minimum criteria [7]"/> | Status evaluation | <input type="text"/> |
| Pier or abutment protection | <input type="text"/> | Sufficiency rating | <input type="text" value="94.9"/> |
| Culverts | <input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | <input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | <input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail | <input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/> | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | <input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/> | | |
| Inspection date | <input type="text" value="November 2011 [1111]"/> | Designated inspection frequency | <input type="text" value="24"/> Months |
| Underwater inspection | <input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/> | Underwater inspection date | <input type="text"/> |
| Fracture critical inspection | <input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/> | Fracture critical inspection date | <input type="text"/> |
| Other special inspection | <input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/> | Other special inspection date | <input type="text"/> |