The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 41-31-05 = 080-03-17 = -									
Pennsylvania [42] Crawford County [039]		Fairfield [24568] FAIRFIELD TOWN		ISHIP		41.518056	80.054722		
200173007000000	Highway ager	ncy district 1	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 173 SR 173,W.ADAM ST Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OVER FRENCH CREEK									
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [1]	10]	Design - approach Other	r [00]	Year built 1930 Skew angle 0	Structure FI	constructed 1981 lared	ot dotorminable at t	his timo [1]	
Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4] Total length 96 m = 315.0 ft Length of maximum span 47.2 m = 154.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.1 m = 33.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.9 m = 22.6 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Ho	Curb or sidewalk v	dewalk width - left			walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft			
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]									
Type of wearing surface	ructural deck) [1]								
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfo		orcing [1]	ng [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		ventory rating	30.8 metric ton =	33.9 tons			
1.8 km = 1.1 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	0	perating rating	49 metric ton = 5	3.9 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			D	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 3866 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 6775 Year 2026								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4 m = 13.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Danain and Dankasanant Dlana									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 1000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 96 m = 315.0 ft Total project cost 2000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2006								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intoler	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations d required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is be channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present des	irable criteria [8]	Stati	us evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Suffi	iciency rating	20				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [I	N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date May 2009 [0509] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date	May 2009 [0509					
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date						