The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Cook County [031]		Chicago [14000]	100 W & 307 N	41-54-04 =	41.9 087-37-47 = -87.6			
000016601427333 Highway agency district 1		Owner City or Municipa	Highway Agency [04] Mainter	nance responsibility City or Municipal	al Highway Agency [04]			
Route 2904 DEARBORN ST		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected MAIN		tersected MAIN BR CHICAGO RIV				
Design - Steel [3] main  1 Movable -	Bascule [16]	Design - approach  Steel  String	[3] ger/Multi-beam or girder [02]		2.0 mi ear reconstructed N/A [0000] ture Flared			
			<b></b>		idge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]			
Total length 103.9 m	n = 340.9 ft	Length of maximum sp	an 71.6 m = 234.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 26.2 m	= 86.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-t	o-curb 17.1 m = 56.1 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 17 m = 55.8 ft		Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $3 m = 9.8 ft$	Curb or sidewalk width - right	3  m = 9.8  ft			
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]						
Type of wearing surface Other [9]		Other [9]	ner [9]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rational method.		Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Inventory rati	ing 32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons				
0 km = 0.0 mi	Method to d	determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)	Operating rat	ting 44.1 metric ton = 48.5 tons			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 15200 Average daily tr	uck traffi 13 % Year 2006 Future	average daily traffic 6611 Year 2021						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 5	Approach roadway width 17.1 m = 56.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic	[1] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc 4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Navigation horizontal cle	earance 48.7 m = 159.8 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge M	finimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Danisassant Diago								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [	IJ						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2125000	Roadway improvement cost 213000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 109.1	m = 358.0 ft Total project cost 3188000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected required or are in a	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to present	desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	65				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns No	t applicable or a safety feature is no	ble or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail No	t applicable or a safety feature is no	cable or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]								
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date July 2009 [07	709]				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:	spection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					