The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information				40-59-29 =	079-36-53 = -		
Pennsylvania [42] Clarion County [031]	East Brady [20840	EAST BRADY-BRADYS I	BEND	40-59-29 = 40.991389	79.614722		
160068001000000 Highway agency district 10 Owner State Highway		hway Agency [01]	gency [01] Maintenance responsibility		ency [01]		
Route 68 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected ALLEGHENY RIVER							
	Design - pproach  Other [00]	<ul> <li>Kilometerpoint  0 km =</li> <li>Year built  1953</li> <li>Skew angle  23</li> <li>Historical significance</li> </ul>	O.0 mi  Year reconstructed 1973  Structure Flared  Bridge is not eligible for the				
Total length 235 m = 771.0 ft Length of maximum span 60.7 m = 199.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.4 m = 27.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.1 m = 20.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft							
	n Grating [3]			y y			
Type of wearing surface Monol	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length Method to determine i	inventory rating Load Factor(	_F) [1] Invent	ory rating 39.6 metric ton =	= 43.6 tons			
8.2 km = 5.1 mi  Method to determine of	= 5.1 mi Load Factor(LF) [1]		ting rating 65.7 metric ton =	= 72.3 tons			
Bridge posting Equ	ual to or above legal loads [5]	Design	Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 4133 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 6 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 4400 Year 2021						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.74 m = 15.6 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Danein and Danlessmant Dlane							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 4025000 Roadway improvement cost 1731000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 304.8 m = 1000.0 ft Total project cost 7935000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2002						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status  Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]  Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment  Appraisal ratings -				
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined	to be unstable. [	[3]		
Channel and channel protection  Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	gs - water adequacy Superior to present desirable		S	tatus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]	
Pier or abutment protection			S	sufficiency rating	33	
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transitions						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date August 2002	[0802] Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Mon	nths		
Underwater inspection	Underwater inspec		August 2002 [08			
Fracture critical inspection Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical in:				
Other special inspection Every year [Y12] Other special inspection date August 2002 [0802]						