The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inforr	mation											00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -
Michigan [26]		Chippewa County [033]				Unknov	Unknown [00000] IN SAULT STE M			E		0.000000	0.000000
174602800001B01			Highway agency district 1			Owner	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]			Maintenanc	e responsibility	City or Municipal F	Highway Agency [04]
Route 0				FORT	STREET		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	F	eatures interse	ected POWER C	ANAL	
main	Steel [3] Truss - Thr	u [10]			Design - approach	Other [00]		Kilometerp Year built Skew angle	#Num!	m = 0.0 mi Year re	econstructed N/A	A [0000]	
								Historical s	significance	Bridge	is on the NRHP.		
Total length	71.3 m :	= 233.	.9 ft	Lenç	gth of maxim	um span 70.7 r	n = 232.0 ft	Deck wid	th, out-to-o	ut 0.6 m = 2.0	ft Bridge roa	adway width, curb-to-c	curb $0.6 \text{ m} = 2.0 \text{ ft}$
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clea		arance	nce 6.4 m = 21.0 ft Curb or sidewalk w			idth - left	1.7 m = 5.	6 ft	Curb or sic	lewalk width - right	1.7 m = 5.6 ft		
Deck structu	ure type			W	ood or Timbe	er [8]							
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7			er [7]										
Deck protect	ction												
Type of men	mbrane/we	earing	surface										
Weight Lim	nits												
Bypass, det	0	J V	/lethod to	determi	ne inventory	rating	lowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inv	entory rating	0 metric ton = 0	0.0 tons	
0.2 km = 0.7	.1 mi		/lethod to	determi	ne operating	rating Al	lowable Stress(AS) [2]	Ор	erating rating	0 metric ton = 0	0.0 tons	
		В	Bridge pos	sting					De	sign Load			

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 5170 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 1964 Future average daily traffic 8450 Year 2013
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.9 m = 35.8 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	idge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.69 m = 15.4 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2000 Roadway improvement cost 0
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 79.3 m = 260.2 ft Total project cost 3000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Bridge close	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Imminent Failure [1]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -							
Condition ratings - deck		deck geometry							
Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable co	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	riteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ration	Sufficiency rating 2					
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date June 2001 [0]		ection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Designated inspection	Underwater inspec							
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins							
	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe							
1	. ,								