HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Michigan [26] Ionia County [067]			Unknown [00000]	KENT ST S PORTLD V	TLD VILL LMT				
34200006000B010 Highway agency district: 5			Owner County Highway	/ Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 3422 KENT STREET			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected GRAN			ER			
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other		Skew angle 0		Year reconstructed N/A [0000] Structure Flared Pridge is on the NPHP [1]					
Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1] Total length 68.3 m = 224.1 ft Length of maximum span 66.8 m = 219.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft									
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	4.8 m = 15.7 ft	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	Curb or sidev	walk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft				
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]									
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length	Method to determi	ne inventory rating		Inve	ntory rating 5.6 metric ton = 6	6.2 tons			
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating				Ope	rating rating 11.3 metric ton =	: 12.4 tons			
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1]					ign Load MS 18+Mod / HS 20+	⊦Mod [6]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1398 Average daily tr	uck traffi 10 % Year 1990 Future average daily traffi	fic 1970 Year 2010							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.48 m = 18.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 725000 Roadway	improvement cost 65000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 76.2 m = 250.0 ft	Total project cost 990000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Suff	ficiency										
Structure status	Posted for lo				oraisal ratings - ctural	Basicall	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - s	uperstructure				Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure Satis		Satisfactory			Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	₋ Basicall	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement				
Condition ratings - deck Fair		Fair [5]									
Scour		Scour	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]								
Channel and channel protection			Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Meets	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [as is [4]	Stat	tus evaluation	evaluation Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection							Suff	fficiency rating 3.6			
Culverts Not applie	icable. Used	if structure is r	not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety feature	es - railings										
Traffic safety feature											
Traffic safety feature	• •	ŭ									
Traffic safety feature			S								
Inspection date December 1991 [1291] Designated inspe											
'			two years [Y24]		Underwater inspection date			November 1991 [1191]			
·		Not needed [N			Fracture critical inspection date						
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N	<u> </u>		Other special	inspection date	:				