HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-52-46 =	085-32-34 = -
Indiana [18]	Huntington County [0	069]	Unknown [00000]	nown [00000] 00.05 S OF US 24			40-32-40 = 40.879444	85.542778
3500083 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 17 CR 475W		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	cted WABASH R	IVER		
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Truss - Thi	u [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1920 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 1987 lared s on the NRHP. [1		
Total length 79.2 m = 259.9 ft Length of maximum span 39 m = 128.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.5 m = 18.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.3 m = 17.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.3 m = 17.4 ft O m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type		/ood or Timber [8]						
Type of wearing surfate Deck protection Type of membrane/wearth		ituminous [6]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating				
	Bridge posting	20.0 - 29.9 % belo	w [2]		Design Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 925 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 1500 Year 2030							
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.27 m = 14.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Don't and Dorland and Diagram								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1225000 Roadway improvement cost 275000							
120000000000000000000000000000000000000	Length of structure improvement 88.7 m = 291.0 ft Total project cost 1500000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ructure status Posted for load [P]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minir	to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	13.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2010 [0310] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	March 2010 [03	10]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	ded [N] Other special inspection date							