The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-26-00 =	080-00-12 = -
Pennsylvania [42] Allegheny County [003]			Pittsburgh [61000] SMITHFIELD ST.BRIDGE		40.433333	80.003333		
023027002000000 Highway agency district 11		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway Age	ency [01]			
Route 0 No	orth [1] SMI	THFIELD ST	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features intersed	cted MONONGAH	HELA RIVER,CSX F	PR
Design - Steel [3] main 2 Truss - Thru [10]	Design - approach 5 Girder	[3] and floorbeam system [03]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1883 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 1994 lared s on the NRHP. [1]		
Total length 358.7 m = Inventory Route, Total H Deck structure type	orizontal Clearanc	ength of maximum space 7.5 m = 24.6 ft Closed Grating [4]	an 109.7 m = 359.9 ft Curb or sidewalk wi	Deck width, out-	to-out 14.1 m = 46.	3 ft Bridge road		urb 12.8 m = 42.0 ft 3.2 m = 10.5 ft
Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wear		Epoxy Overlay [5]						
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.6 km = 0.4 mi		rmine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating	20 metric ton = 2 26.3 metric ton =		
Bridge posting 30.0 - 39.9 % below [1			, , , -			13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 6401 Average daily to	ruck traffi 9 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 20000 Year 2020
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 3 Approach roadway width 16.2 m = 53.2 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-ra	Ilroad [Lanes under structure 1 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 103.6 m = 339.9 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.18 m = 17.0 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	ighway beneath structure [H]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 4.11 m = 13.5 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Highway beneath structure [H]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Basically intoler	able requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]
Danair and Danlagement Diana	
Repair and Replacement Plans Type of work to be performed.	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 1000
' ' '	Length of structure improvement 451.1 m = 1480.1 ft Total project cost 6000
	Year of improvement cost estimate
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for Ic	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry							
Scour	Countermeasures have	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Superior to present des	sirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	49.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpecte	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpecte	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpecte	eptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							