## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information  New Hampshire [33] Si	ullivan County [019	)]	Charlestown [11380]	NH VT STA	TE LINE		43-15-36 = 43.260000	072-25-37 = - 72.426944
004201350005200 Highway agency district: 4			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 0	oute 0 NH 11			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected CONNECTICUT RIVER				
Design - main  Steel [3] Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other		Kilometerpoint 148.1 km = 91.8 mi  Year built #Num! Year reconstructed 1992  [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]						
Total length 152.4 m = 500.0 ft Length of maximum span 50.3 m = 165.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.4 m = 21.0 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.4 m = 21.0 ft						lewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type  Type of wearing surface  Deck protection  Concrete Cast-in-Pla  Bituminous [6]		ace [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface  Other [9]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 2.9 km = 1.8 mi  Method to determine inventory rational Method to determine operating rational Method to determine inventory rational Method to determine operating rational Method to determin		,	, , , , , ,		Inventory rating Operating rating			
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			legal loads [5]		Design Load M	13.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 6700 Average daily true	ck traffi 4 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 9916 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.03 m = 16.5 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimi	ted Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Day in a 1 Day law and Disc.								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2000000 Roadway improvement cost 200000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 152.4 m = 500.0 ft Total project cost 2500000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state Unknown [501] Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number 0							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Countermeasure	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 50.9					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	าร	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date April 2010 [0	0410] Des	gnated inspection frequency 24	Months Months					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	pection date April 2010 [0410]					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date					