HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2016 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic In	formation								27 21 46 00 -	077 20 06 72	
Virginia [51]		Richmond city [760]		Unknow	n [10350]	.25 NEW KENT .78 SHIRLEY		37.529694	= -77.485200		
21528		Highway	Highway agency district: 4		Owner Local Toll Authority [32]		Maintenance	Maintenance responsibility		Local Toll Authority [32]	
Route 161 WESTOVER HILLS BLV			BLV	Toll Toll bridge [1] Features intersected JAMES RV,N			S RWY,CSX RWY				
Design - mainSteel [3]Design - approach11Truss - Deck [09]20		iteel [3] K Y Sirder and floorbeam system [03] F		Kilometerpoint Year built 1924 Skew angle 0 Historical significan	oint 1097.3 km = 680.3 mi 1924 Year reconstructed N/A [0000] e 0 Structure Flared ignificance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP [5]						
Total length 619.4 m = 2032.3 ft Length of maximum span 39.3 m = 128.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 8.5 m = 27.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.9 m = 22.6 ft											
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.8 m = 22.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.2 m = 3.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.9 m = 3.0 ft											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]											
Type of wearing surface											
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			Reinforcing [1]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight L	_imits										
Bypass, detour length Method to determin			determine inventory	nine inventory rating Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory rating	10.8 metric ton =	11.9 tons		
1.6 km = 1.0 mi Method to determine operating ra			rating Loa	g Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 18.9 metric ton = 20.8 tons					
Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % below [2				% below [2]			Design Load M 1	13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 12604 Average daily truck traffi 0 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 28267 Year 2030											
Road classificationOther Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]Lanes on structure2Approach roadway width6.1 m = 20.0 ft											
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5] Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median											
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]											
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7] Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control											
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A											
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 99.99 m = 328.1 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3 m = 9.8 ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A											
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.98 m = 22.9 ft Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]											
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]											
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength [25] Bridge improvement cost 500000 Roadway improvement cost 500000											
Length of structure improvement619.4 m = 2032.3 ftTotal project cost6000000											
Year of improvement cost estimate											
Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state											
Border bridge - structure number											

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -							
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundation	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protec required or are in	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 15.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culve	rt. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	are meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Inspection date September 2015 [0915] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ection date September 2014 [0914]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical in:	nspection date September 2015 [0915]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						