

WATERLOO BRIDGE
(Bridge No. 6906)
Spanning the Rappahannock River at Virginia Route 613
Waterloo
Culpeper County
Virginia

HAER No. VA-112

HAER
VA
24-WATLO,
1-

PHOTOGRAPHS

WRITTEN HISTORICAL AND DESCRIPTIVE DATA

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD
National Park Service
Northeast Region
U.S. Custom House
200 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19106

HISTORIC AMERICAN ENGINEERING RECORD
WATERLOO BRIDGE
(BRIDGE NO. 6906)

HAER
VA
24-WATL9,
1-

HAER No. VA-112

LOCATION: State Route 613 over the Rappahannock River, Waterloo, Fauquier and Culpeper counties, Virginia. USGS Jeffersonton, VA Quadrangle, Universal Transverse Mercator Coordinates: 18.247260.4286810

DATE OF CONSTRUCTION: 1885, 1918-1919

BUILDER: Virginia Bridge & Iron Company, Roanoke, Virginia

PRESENT OWNER: Virginia Department of Transportation

SIGNIFICANCE: The Waterloo Bridge presents representative examples of two types of bridge technology. It features a pin-connected iron and steel Pratt through truss typical of late nineteenth century factory-manufactured bridges, and a series of early twentieth century steel beam deck spans supported by reinforced concrete piers.

PROJECT INFORMATION: The Waterloo Bridge was recorded in 1993-1994 by the Cultural Resource Group of Louis Berger & Associates, Inc., Richmond, Virginia, for the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT). The recordation was undertaken pursuant to provisions of a Programmatic Memorandum of Agreement (Draft) among the Federal Highway Administration, VDOT, the Virginia SHPO, and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation concerning management of historic metal truss bridges in Virginia. Project personnel included Richard M. Casella, Architectural Historian, and Rob Tucher, Photographer.

DESCRIPTION

The Waterloo Bridge (VDOT Bridge No. 6906) consists of a pin-connected metal through truss main span, believed to have been built in 1885, and fifteen steel beam deck spans erected in 1918-1919. The bridge carries a single lane of Virginia State Route 613 in a northeast-southwest direction over the Rappahannock River, about 400' south of the confluence with Carter's Run, a tributary stream (Figure 1). The bridge connects Fauquier County on the east side with Culpeper County on the west side. At the point of the bridge, the riverbed is approximately 80' wide, with an east floodplain approximately 120' in width and a west floodplain approximately 140' in width. The maximum depth of the river is typically 1' in midsummer. The immediate area around the bridge is heavily wooded, bordered by open farmlands and widely spaced residences. The east bank of the river increases grade rapidly as it climbs the southern foot of Piney Mountain. To the north of the bridge, bordering Carter's Run, are expansive flat farm pastures and fields. To the west and south of the bridge are rolling farmlands and woodlands.

Waterloo Bridge has an overall length of 367' 1", consisting of the truss span, 100' long; the east approach of seven deck spans, with an overall length of 124' 8"; and the west approach of eight deck spans, with an overall length of 142' 5".

The center structure is an iron and steel Pratt through truss 100' in length, 15' 8" in height, and 13' in width, with eight panels each 12' 6" wide. The truss spans the riverbed at a height of 28' (Figure 2).

The riveted bar-lattice posts are 8" x 5" overall, made up of two rolled Tee's, 5" x 2-3/8", connected by 1-1/4" x 10" single bar-lattice. Top chords and inclined end posts are riveted box sections, 12" x 6-5/8" overall, built with 3/8" top plate, 6" x 1-3/4" side channels, and 4" x 12" x 5/16" stay plates spaced 4' 4" on center. Bottom chords consist of two loop-welded eye-bars, 3" x 3/4", with the exception of the chords connecting the end post and the first post, which are 1-3/16" square (Figure 3).

The diagonals consist of two 7/8" diameter rods with loop-welded eyes located in all but the end panels. Single counters are located in the four center panels and consist of 7/8" diameter loop-welded eye-bars with sleeve nuts. Hip verticals consist of two 7/8" diameter loop-welded eye-bars. Posts, chords, and diagonals are connected with 1-3/4" pins.

Portal struts are lattice girders with Tee flanges and bar-lattice webs. The bottom flange of the portal strut curves downward and is bolted to the end post to provide integral portal bracing. Top lateral struts are 6" rolled I-beams, pin-connected to each post. Sway braces are Tee's, attached with bolts. Top lateral bracing consists of two 7/8" diameter loop-welded eye-bars.

WATERLOO BRIDGE
(Bridge No. 6906)
HAER No. VA-112 (Page 3)

The 11' wide roadway is edged with 6" x 6" wood curbing raised 6" off the flooring with wood blocking spaced approximately 4' on center. The 4" x 12" pressure-treated wood flooring is attached to the steel stringers with carriage bolts and steel deck clips. The floor is carried by five 10" x 4" I-beam stringers, spaced 2' 9" on center. The floor beams are also 10" x 4" I-beams, hung from the bottom chord pins at each post by 1" square U-bolts and hex nuts. There are no end floor beams. Bottom lateral bracing consists of two 7/8" rods with both ends threaded, connected to the ends of the floor beams with skewback brackets. The truss rides on four metal bearings, each approximately 13" square, made up with plate and six 1-1/2" rollers, spaced 2" on center.

The truss rests on stone piers constructed of random rubble and ashlar masonry, erected in 1885 with later additions to increase their height. The piers, which stand 21' above the surrounding ground, are battered and measure approximately 16' x 5' at the top and 21' x 8' at the base.

The east and west approaches are steel beam deck bridges of identical construction. The east approach has six spans measuring 17' 9" and one span measuring 18' 2", at an average height of 17' above the floodplain. The west approach has seven spans measuring 17' 9" and one span measuring 18' 2", at an average height of 19' above the floodplain. The fifteen deck spans consist of five 9" x 4-1/2" steel I-section stringers spaced 2' 9" on center. The flooring is as previously described, and the stringers rest directly on the concrete piers.

The steel deck sections of the bridge rest on open reinforced concrete piers and reinforced concrete abutments. These structures were built by the Virginia Bridge & Iron Company in 1918 and 1919 (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1918:345-348, 1919:398).

The open reinforced concrete piers consist of two square columns supporting a square pier cap. The junction of the column and cap is reinforced with a diagonal gusset at the inside corners, creating a polygonal portal. This feature was built into the form work, and each pier was cast in place as a single unified structure. The piers are 14' x 2' and vary in height with the terrain between 13' and 20'. There are a total of thirteen piers, six supporting the east approach and seven supporting the west approach.

The end spans of the approaches rest on reinforced concrete beveled wing abutments. The east abutment was cast in place on top of an earlier rubble masonry abutment.

The bridge railings consist of two horizontal rows of 2" steel pipe, at heights of 28" and 46", attached with U-bolts to vertical 2-1/4" angles, spaced approximately 3' on center.

HISTORICAL INFORMATION

Background

Waterloo Bridge and the settlement around it can trace their origins to the mid-eighteenth century when farms were established along the fertile Rappahannock River Valley. The broad section of the valley, formed at the confluence with Carter's Run, offered large tracts of relatively flat arable land as well as waterpower. Glasgow merchants controlled the tobacco trade in the Piedmont region of Virginia, and they encouraged the building of roads and stores for the purchase of tobacco and the sale of merchandise. About 1749, the Lower Dumfries Road was created to connect the mouth of Carter's Run and the settlement there, known as Waterloo, with Fauquier and Allentown Pike and Dumfries Road, thereby forming a triple junction at the Fauquier County Courthouse (Groome 1927:202).

As the interior of Virginia became more thickly settled, villages grew up along the Rappahannock at points which offered fords or falls. As early as 1794, the merchants of Fredericksburg recognized the potential benefits of opening navigation on the Rappahannock River. A canal that utilized the river could connect the markets at Fredericksburg to the farms and forests of four counties: Fauquier, Culpeper, Loudoun, and Rappahannock. An attempt in that year to capitalize a canal company failed, as would repeated attempts made every few years until 1829, when construction finally began. Four locks were constructed by 1831, opening a short section of the lower Rappahannock just above Fredericksburg, but floods and financing problems repeatedly stalled the project. Waterloo was planned as the northern terminus of the canal, and much of the development of the village was undoubtedly based on the commerce that the canal would surely bring (Callahan 1967:17).

In 1848, the Virginia legislature, convinced of the value of the project, granted \$100,000 to complete the canal. Work immediately progressed to Weatley's Mill just north of Kelly's Ford, about fifteen miles south of Waterloo. The northern and final section of the canal, from Porter's Locks to Waterloo, covered a distance of three miles. The contractor for this section failed to complete the project due to heavy rains and the inability to pay his workers. With a vested interest in seeing the project finished, Waterloo resident and builder John Spillman Armstrong and his brother-in-law Joe Settle stepped forward and were awarded the contract (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:110; Scheel 1982:148).

John Spillman Armstrong was one of the early residents of Waterloo and bought a 400-acre farm on the Culpeper side of the river sometime in the late 1830s or early 1840s. Armstrong had worked as an operator of a sawmill and gristmill and became known in the area as an accomplished builder. Armstrong and Settle finished the canal ahead of schedule and each earned \$10,000 plus a \$2,500 bonus (Works Progress Administration 1978:299).

Upon its completion in 1849, the canal route stretched fifty-eight miles from Waterloo to Fredericksburg and consisted of fourteen miles of dug canal, forty-four locks, and twenty dams. Canal barges transported flour, timber, and farm products down the canal and brought lump plaster back to be ground for fertilizer (Callahan 1967:34; Works Progress Administration 1978:302).

During its construction and for the short time it operated, the canal brought prosperity to Waterloo. With the money he made from finishing the canal, John Armstrong bought the neighboring 800-acre Dr. Rose Farm. He laid out a town with streets and lots on his new land and put up a store and two large warehouses which he rented to Messrs. Settle and Knox. Armstrong also bought a large, steam-powered circular sawmill in Baltimore and had it brought up from Fredericksburg by eight oxen. The sawmill was the first of its kind in the area and attracted wide attention. Armstrong supplied the lumber for the construction of houses, stores, and factories, which was proceeding at a rapid pace on both sides of the river (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:113, 114; Works Progress Administration 1978:299).

On the Fauquier side of the river, a longtime resident and large land owner named Keith laid out his property into what he called the Factory Lot. By 1852, the Factory Lot consisted of three stores, a large woolen mill, a canal boat shop, a blacksmith shop, and several warehouses. The early plans of Armstrong and Keith are not believed to be extant, nor is the precise number of houses in the community on record, but based on the commercial activities at least several dozen families must have lived in the village (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:115; Works Progress Administration 1978:299).

In 1852, the Orange and Alexandria Railway and the Manassas Gap Railway began operation in the region and sent a shock wave through the Rappahannock Valley. The railroads, compared to the canals, could move goods at half the cost, in a fraction of the time, regardless of ice or floods. The death blow dealt by the railroads was swift and sure: the canal ceased operation in 1853, never having returned a profit to its investors. The canal had cost \$372,000 and was sold in 1868 for \$1,500 (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:112).

In 1853, following the financial collapse of the canal, Waterloo's first known bridge, a 200' wooden structure, was constructed. Aside from the obvious benefits of uniting the east and west parts of the village, the bridge stimulated cross-county road traffic and further established Waterloo as a commercial center (Scheel 1982:137).

Exactly what impact the loss of the canal had on Waterloo in the ensuing eight years is unclear and perhaps irrelevant in light of the events that befell the village with the onset of the Civil War. In 1861, in one of the early skirmishes of the war in Virginia, General Shields was defeated by Stonewall Jackson and passed through Waterloo on his return to Washington. Edward Armstrong, John's son, was 14 years old at the time and remembered watching from

a hilltop as Shields burned the factory buildings and warehouses. Miller's Woolen factory, owned by Middleton Miller and Brent Green, had been manufacturing cloth for Confederate uniforms. Angered by the destruction of his village, and against his fathers strict orders, Edward ran away and joined the Confederate army. Several months later, after the first battle of Manassas, General Beauregard passed through Waterloo in his fall back to Richmond. To slow any advance of Union forces, he burned the bridge (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:117; Scheel 1982:136, 137).

The following June, General John Pope was given command of about 38,000 troops in Virginia, with orders to consolidate the corps of McDowell, Fremont, and Banks and assist McClellan in his advance on Richmond. His orders called for him to hold a line along the Rappahannock River to prevent an advance on Washington by General Lee's troops. Pope had a wood bridge brought up from Alexandria in sections to replace the one burned by Beauregard. By August, Pope's encampment stretched from Carter's Run through Waterloo and down to Fauquier Springs. Rickett's division of McDowell's corps was stationed at Waterloo Bridge (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:117).

Meanwhile, Jackson's men advanced on Washington around Pope's right flank, fording the Rappahannock at Hinson's Mill, just a short distance north of the Waterloo Bridge. Pope's men were involved with skirmishes at the bridge with about 6,000 forces of R.H. Anderson's division from August 24 to 27, 1862. Pope tried in vain to burn the bridge to prevent the advance, unaware that the battle for the bridge was a diversion and that he had been outflanked. Upon discovery of Jackson's position, Pope turned his troops north to meet Jackson in the Second Battle of Bull Run (Johnson and Buel 1887:516).

During the winter of 1862, Pope's troops camped along the Rappahannock Valley, establishing a base of operations at Waterloo. All of the buildings that had not been destroyed by Shields were torn down and used to build shelters for the soldiers. Only two houses were spared, one being the home of John Armstrong on Waterloo Farm. General Sedgwick used the house as his headquarters, and it remains standing today (Culpeper Historical Society 1974:55; Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:122; Johnson and Buel 1887:452).

History of Waterloo Bridge

Bridges have spanned the Rappahannock River since 1798 when the first was built at the Great Fork of the Hazel River. By 1840, bridges were in existence downstream from Waterloo at Weatley's Mill and Kelly's Ford. In 1853, Thomas Ingram built the first bridge at Waterloo. The 200' wood structure was officially known as the Sperryville and Rappahannock Turnpike Toll Bridge but commonly called the Bridge at Waterloo. By the start of the Civil War, the Waterloo bridge was the only surviving bridge over the Rappahannock, but by the end of the

first year it too fell, burned by General Beauregard in his retreat from Manassas (Scheel 1982:136, 137).

After the War, Culpeper County appointed a new commission to oversee the rebuilding of roads and bridges. In June 1867, John Armstrong and William Browning were given the contract to rebuild the bridge several miles south of Waterloo at Fauquier Springs (Scheel 1982:232). It is not known when the Waterloo bridge was rebuilt; however, according to Edward Armstrong, the new bridge was a wood structure built by his father shortly after the Fauquier Springs bridge (Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee 1959:117).

The first mention of a bridge at Waterloo in county records was in the Minutes of the Fauquier County Board of Supervisor's Meeting of March 5, 1875. An unnamed person, probably John S. Armstrong, represented to the board that the bridge was "in a dangerous condition for want of repairs" (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1875:76). The board recommended that Messrs. Kirby and Curtis inspect the bridge and report back to the board at the next meeting. Supervisor James D. Kirby and Commissioner of Roads Mr. Curtis inspected the bridge the following week and found it

wanting repairs to the extent of 150 dollars, and there upon appropriated same for . . . purchasing lumber to be delivered to the spot and employing some competent person to repair said bridge and render the same safe [Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1875:72].

The final bill for the repairs came to \$281.20, one third of which was paid by Culpeper County. Kirby was paid \$10.40 mileage and per diem for inspecting the repairs at the bridge (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1875:74).

John Armstrong and his son Edward did the majority of the repair work on the bridge over the ensuing years. In 1876, John was paid \$55 to effect "emergency repairs to render it temporarily safe" (Fauquier Board of Supervisors County 1876:93, 102, 104).

In 1878, Edward Armstrong was paid \$300 and John Armstrong \$19.75 for repairs to the bridge. This was a sizable sum and probably involved substantial rebuilding of the wood structure (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1878:141). Edward Armstrong noted in his memoirs that the bridge was swept away by floods several times and rebuilt by his father and himself; this was undoubtedly one of those occasions (Work Progress Administration 1978:300).

The first mention of an iron bridge at Waterloo in county records is on August 20, 1885, with the following entry in the Fauquier County Minutes:

WATERLOO BRIDGE
(Bridge No. 6906)
HAER No. VA-112 (Page 8)

Board appoints R.H. Downman to audit settle and adjust the accounts between Counties of Fauquier and Culpeper growing out of the erection of two iron bridges and the subsequent repairs thereto at Fauquier White Sulphur Springs and at Waterloo and to make report [Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1885:266].

There were no further entries in the county records relating specifically to the bridge contract or its erection, nor any record of Downman's report.

Miscellaneous small repairs were made to the bridge over the next fourteen years, including an award of \$20 to R.A. Reed for "damage to his colt while crossing the Waterloo Bridge." Most likely these repairs were to the wood decking or the wood approaches rather than the metal truss (Culpeper County Board of Supervisors 1892:335; Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1889:364, 365).

On September 18, 1899, a special meeting was called by Fauquier County concerning the substantial repairs, estimated at \$400, required for the bridge. It was ordered that Rappahannock and Culpeper counties be approached for a contribution to the effort and that repairs not be made until they committed funds. Although not specifically mentioned, Rappahannock County residents must have made frequent use of the bridge and were therefore asked to contribute their fair share of the maintenance. The repairs were made by George Mason, who was paid \$384.15 by Fauquier County, of which \$125 was contributed by Rappahannock County and \$100 by Culpeper County (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1899:172-173, 175, 180, 207).

In June 1911, Fauquier County found that the bridge approaches were again in need of repairs and unsafe for travel, and again contacted Culpeper and Rappahannock counties for a contribution (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1911:100). Over a year later, with no response from its neighboring counties, Fauquier County sent them each notice that "Waterloo Bridge is in such a state of decay that practically a new bridge will have to be constructed, that said bridge is considered dangerous for travel and unless put in good condition will have to be closed" (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1912:152). It was not until a year later, in September 1913, that repairs were made by the Fauquier County Center District Road Gang. The Fauquier County records do not show that the other counties ever contributed to the cost of the repairs (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1913:181).

By May 1917, the bridge was in such poor condition that a joint committee from both counties as well as interested citizens met at the bridge to inspect it and discuss the situation. It was agreed at the time to build a new bridge (or rather, new approach spans), that Fauquier County would pay three fifths of the cost, and that Culpeper County would pay two fifths. Furthermore, bids would be sought as soon as possible for the construction of either a steel or a concrete bridge, according to State Highway Commission plans (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors

1917:312, 325). When Culpeper's bridge committee met in July, the terms of the agreement had changed: Fauquier County would pay three fourths of the cost and Culpeper County would pay one fourth. They also noted in their record that the approaches and supports were to be of concrete. Apparently, concrete had been a point of some discussion. At the least, it was probably trumped as a permanent solution to the frequent repairs dictated by the ever flooding Rappahannock River (Culpeper County Board of Supervisors 1917:216).

On March 21, 1918, the Fauquier County Bridge Committee received bids from the Virginia Bridge & Iron Company of Roanoke (hereafter referred to as Virginia Bridge) and the Champion Bridge Company of Wilmington, Ohio, according to plans and specifications of the State Highway Commissioner. Virginia Bridge actually submitted two bids: \$7,050.00 to build the bridge according to the state plans, and an alternate bid of \$6,030.00 to build the bridge according to their own company plans. The bids did not include the cost of the lumber for the decking. The committee moved to accept the lower bid submitted by Virginia Bridge, conditional upon the acceptance of the agreement by Culpeper County and the approval of the alternate plans by the State Highway Commissioner. Culpeper's board met April 2, and followed Fauquier's lead by granting the same conditional approval. However, William Glidden, Bridge Engineer for the State, rejected the alternate plan, and on April 18, Fauquier County accepted Virginia Bridge's initial bid of \$7,050 to build the bridge according to the state plan (Culpeper County Board of Supervisors 1918:445; Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1918:345, 348).

Construction began November 21, 1918, and on December 19, Fauquier agreed to make a partial payment of \$3,000 to Virginia Bridge. It was noted in the record that "it appears that all steel work delivered and that enough work complete to justify this payment but this does not mean Board will make any more payments until completion" (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1918:373).

The new concrete and steel approach spans were completed on February 23, 1919, and the final statement of its cost was recorded on July 28, 1919, as follows (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1919:398):

Virginia Bridge & Iron Co., contract	7,050.00
" " " " " extra	
excavations by order of Committee	599.06
B M Butler, for lumber	743.43
J S Hutton, building approaches and superintending work	988.08
J S Hutton, inspecting work	9.00
E W Allen, inspecting work	46.67
Total cost	9,436.24

The final entry in the county records regarding Waterloo Bridge appeared in 1922 from Fauquier County's Supervisor of Roads. Upon inspection, the Supervisor found that the bridge had been damaged by the hauling of excessive loads. He determined that the bridge was unsafe and closed it to traffic in excess of six tons (Fauquier County Board of Supervisors 1922:75).

A search of the records of the Bridge Division of the Virginia Department of Transportation, Culpeper District, produced two records on the Waterloo Bridge: an "Original Bridge Report," dated December 28, 1970, and a "Supplementary Bridge Report," dated August 21, 1992. The 1970 report listed the bridge in fair condition with a posted weight limit of eight tons. The 1992 inspection report found the bridge to be in poor condition and reduced its weight limit to three tons, the lowest rate permitted without closing. The report noted numerous deficiencies in the bridge, including severe rust, missing deck bolts, loose diagonals and counters, and deteriorated concrete piers and abutments (VDOT 1970, 1992).

Thomas Pratt and the Pratt Truss

Thomas Pratt was born in Boston in 1812, the son of noted Boston architect Caleb Pratt. Thomas was thoroughly educated by his father in the sciences, entered Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute at age 14, became an engineer with the United States Army Engineers at 18, and began a professional engineering career with Boston & Maine Railroad at age 21. At the beginning of his career, which lasted until his death in 1875, Pratt was probably the best educated bridge engineer in America. Pratt worked his entire life in the employ of various New England railroad companies, including the Providence & Worcester, the Hartford & New Haven, and the New York & Boston (American Society of Civil Engineers [ASCE] 1876:332-333; Condit 1960:108).

Pratt is best remembered for a bridge truss he designed in 1842 that consisted of two parallel chords connected by vertical wood posts in compression and double wrought iron diagonals in tension. The design, while similar in appearance to the truss recently patented by William Howe, functioned structurally opposite to the Howe truss, Howe having put the verticals in tension and the diagonals in compression. Modern engineers consider the Pratt design to be the first scientifically designed truss (Condit 1960:109). Pratt had recognized and applied a basic principle of structural engineering to truss design: reducing the length of the member in compression reduces the bending moment, allowing members of smaller cross section to be used without sacrificing overall strength. The basic design premise of a truss is to provide equal strength with less weight and material than a solid beam, and Pratt's innovation applied that principle to the design of the components of the truss itself.

In 1844, Pratt and his father were granted a patent for two truss designs, one with parallel chords and one with a polygonal top cord. Either design could be built of a combination of

wood and iron, or just iron alone. The polygonal version again reflected Pratt's understanding of the application of mathematical principles in calculating the forces involved and the precise strength of the material required to counter those forces. Pratt's patent was renewed in 1858. The use of the Pratt truss for the deck of John Roebling's Niagara River Suspension Bridge in 1855 drew worldwide attention to the design and undoubtedly contributed to its increased usage. One of Pratt's best works was the Eastern Railroad's Merrimac River Bridge at Newburyport, Massachusetts. The Merrimac bridge, completed in 1865, consisted of seven wooden Pratt trusses and a center draw span of iron (ASCE 1876:334-335; Cooper 1889:11; Johnson 1929:179).

In its wooden form, the Pratt truss never attained the popularity of the Howe design, but by 1889 in its iron form it ranked first in usage (Cooper 1889:11). The first all-iron Pratt truss bridges were built by J.H. Linville for the Pennsylvania Railroad in 1850. Application of the Pratt truss in its original form reached a high point with the construction of the Erie Railroad Bridge at Portage, New York, in 1875, and the Cincinnati Southern Railroad Bridge at Cincinnati in 1876, both early landmarks in railroad bridge engineering. Literally thousands of bridges, both highway and railroad, have been built following the Pratt design or some variation (Condit 1960:111, 112, 302).

The Virginia Bridge & Iron Company

The Virginia Bridge and Iron Company was founded as the American Bridge Company in Roanoke in 1889 by C.L. Wentworth, J.B. Hunter, and C.L. Michael. In 1895, the company was incorporated as the Virginia Bridge & Iron Company (VBI) and capitalized with \$50,000 (*Roanoke Times* 1966; Stevens 1930:66)

By 1904, the company was the largest steel fabricating company in the South, with a capacity of 12,000 tons annually. The product line consisted of bridges, turntables, warehouse factory buildings, and general structural iron and steel work. The company employed 175 men in the shops and 150 men in the erecting department. The plant covered 10.5 acres and included a 300' x 80' bridge shop, a large girder shop, and several smaller buildings. The plant was located on the lines of the Norfolk and Western Railroad and the Southern Railroad. The principals at the time were W.E. Robertson, President; C.E. Michael, Secretary; T.T. Fishburn, Treasurer; and C.E. Hamlin, Contracting Engineer (Charlotte County 1899-1902; Stone Printing & Manufacturing Company 1904:36-37).

Growth of the company continued through the early twentieth century, and plants were built in Memphis in 1908 and in Birmingham in 1922 (*Roanoke Times* 1936). By 1934, VBI employed 800 people, producing 5.4 million in product annually with offices in Birmingham, Memphis,

Atlanta, New York, New Orleans, Los Angeles, Charlotte, Dallas, and El Paso (*Roanoke Times* 1934; Stevens 1930:66).

In 1936, VBI became a wholly owned subsidiary of the Tennessee Coal, Iron and Railroad Company, the largest producer of steel in the South (*Roanoke Times* 1936).

In 1952, VBI was merged into the American Bridge Company, a subsidiary of the United States Steel Corporation and the largest bridge company in the United States. VBI's facility in Roanoke served as the headquarters of the Southern Division of the American Bridge Company until 1965 when the plant was closed (United States Steel Corporation 1975:18, 32).

According to *A Survey and Photographic Inventory of Metal Truss Bridges in Virginia, 1865-1932*, a study conducted by the VDOT Research Council in 1973, the Virginia Bridge & Iron Company built a total of sixty-five truss bridges in Virginia. The company built six other truss bridges in addition to the Waterloo Bridge within the Culpeper VDOT Construction District (Deibler 1973). One other VBI bridge, Clarkton Bridge (VDOT Bridge No. 6902), in Charlotte County, is included in the seventeen historic metal truss bridges recorded by VDOT in 1993-1994, of which this report is a part.

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND REFERENCES CITED

- American Society of Civil Engineers [ASCE]
1876 Memoir of Thomas Willis Pratt. In *Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers*, vol. 1, 1873-1875, pp. 332-335.
- Boatner, Mark M.
1959 *The Civil War Dictionary*. David McKay & Co., New York.
- Callaham, Donald S.
1967 *The Rappahannock Canal*. Master's thesis. American University.
- Charlotte County
1899-1902 Letter from Virginia Bridge and Iron Co. to the Bridge Commissioners of Charlotte and Halifax Counties. Located in Court Papers, 1899-1902. File Box O-16, available at the Circuit Clerk's Office, Charlotte Courthouse, Virginia.
- Condit, Carl W.
1960 *American Building Art, The Nineteenth Century*. Oxford University Press, New York.

Cooper, Theodore M.

1889 *American Railroad Bridges*. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, no. 418, vol. 21, July 1889.

Culpeper County Board of Supervisors

1875-1930 *Minutes of the Meetings of the Culpeper County Board of Supervisors*. Located at the Culpeper County Courthouse, Culpeper, Virginia.

Culpeper Historical Society, Inc.

1974 *Historic Culpeper*. Culpeper Historical Society, Inc., Culpeper, Virginia.

Deibler, Dan

1973 *A Survey and Photographic Inventory of Metal Truss Bridges in Virginia, 1865-1932*. Virginia Department of Transportation Research Council, Charlottesville.

DeParis, The Comte

1883 *History of the Civil War in America*. Porter & Coates, Philadelphia.

Evans, M. Louise

1955 *An Old Timer in Warrenton & Fauquier County*. Virginia Publishing Company, Warrenton, Virginia.

Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee

1959 *Fauquier County Virginia 1759-1959*. Fauquier County Bicentennial Committee, Warrenton, Virginia.

Fauquier County Board of Supervisors

1875-1922 *Minutes of the Meetings of the Fauquier County Board of Supervisors*. Located at the Fauquier County Courthouse, Warrenton, Virginia.

Groome, H.C.

1927 *Fauquier During the Proprietorship*. Regional Publishing Co., Baltimore.

Johnson, Allen (editor)

1929 *Dictionary of American Biography*. Charles Scribner's Sons, New York.

Johnson, Robert U., and Clarence C. Buel (editors)

1887 *Battles and Leaders of the Civil War*. The Century Company, New York.

Livermore, William Ruscoe

1913 *The Story of the Civil War*. G.P. Putnam & Sons, New York.

Roanoke Times

- 1934 Virginia Bridge Formed Here. *Roanoke Times*, January 29, n.p. Available at Roanoke Public Library, Roanoke, Virginia.
- 1936 Virginia Bridge and Iron Co. Sold To Birmingham Concern. *Roanoke Times*, January 24, n.p. Available at Roanoke Public Library, Roanoke, Virginia.
- 1966 Original Wasena Bridge Served Ore Mines in 1880s. *Roanoke Times*, January 24, n.p. Available at Roanoke Public Library, Roanoke, Virginia.

Ropes, John Codman

- 1898 *The Story of the Civil War*. G.P. Putnam & Sons, New York.

Scheel, Eugene M.

- 1982 *Culpeper, A Virginia County: History Through 1920*. The Culpeper Historical Society, Culpeper, Virginia.

Stevens, George Raymond

- 1930 *An Economic and Social Survey of Roanoke County*. No publisher. Available at Roanoke Public Library, Roanoke, Virginia.

Stone Printing & Manufacturing Company

- 1904 *Roanoke, The Magic City of Virginia*. Stone Printing & Manufacturing Company, Roanoke, Virginia. Available at Roanoke Public Library, Roanoke, Virginia.

Thompson, T. Kennard

- 1892 Bridge Building in America. *Engineering Magazine*. October, 1892.

United States Steel Corporation

- 1975 *American Bridge Division, History and Organization*. United States Steel Corporation, August I, 1975.

Virginia Department of Transportation [VDOT]

- 1970, 1992 Bridge Records. VDOT Culpeper District Office, Culpeper, Virginia.

Welcher, Frank J.

- 1993 *The Union Army, 1861-1865*. Indiana University Press. Indianapolis.

Works Progress Administration

- 1978 *Old Home and Families of Fauquier County Virginia, The WPA Records*. Virginia Book Company, Berryville, Virginia.

WATERLOO BRIDGE
(Bridge No. 6906)
HAER No. VA-112 (Page 15)




FIGURE 1: Location Map

SOURCE: USGS Jeffersonton, VA, 7.5 Minute Quadrangle, 1966
(Photorevised 1983)

ITEM NOT AVAILABLE

This item has been removed from the formal documentation for this structure because:

- the item is registered or otherwise protected under the 1976 Copyright Act as amended and thereby ineligible to enter the public domain as formal HABS/HAER documentation
- the copyright status of the item is not possible to establish due to a lack of sufficient bibliographical information in the formal documentation

Items protected by current copyright law may include--but are not limited to--photographs, prints, drawings, letters, maps, unpublished manuscripts, photo albums, theses, dissertations, books, and periodicals.

5i

ITEM NOT AVAILABLE

This item has been removed from the formal documentation for this structure because:

- the item is registered or otherwise protected under the 1976 Copyright Act as amended and thereby ineligible to enter the public domain as formal HABS/HAER documentation
- the copyright status of the item is not possible to establish due to a lack of sufficient bibliographical information in the formal documentation

16 .

④

Items protected by current copyright law may include--but are not limited to--photographs, prints, drawings, letters, maps, unpublished manuscripts, photo albums, theses, dissertations, books, and periodicals.

h
cted

1/4

② ;

2

d.