HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					47-38-44.77 =	117-26-51.77
Washington [53] Spokane County [063]			Spokane [67000]	00.15 SE SR195-I90	47.645769	= -117.447714
85421000000000 Highway age		ncy district 6	Owner City or Municipa	Highway Agency [04] Maintenan	ce responsibility City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]
Route 823	11T	H AVE	Toll On fre	e road [3] Features inters	sected LATAH CREEK	
Design - Concrete [1] 1 Culvert [19]		Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0 Structure	reconstructed N/A [0000] Flared e is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 46.9 m =	153.9 ft L	ength of maximum sp	an 33.5 m = 109.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 9.1 m = 29	.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-	curb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearan	6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]				
Type of wearing surface	ce	Not applicable (applicable	es only to structures with no			
Deck protection		Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]		
Type of membrane/we	aring surface	Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]		
Weight Limits						
Bypass, detour length	Method to deter	mine inventory rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to deter	mine operating rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor Operating rating	43.2 metric ton = 47.5 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above I	egal loads [5]	Design Load		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 161 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 1 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 300 Year 2032
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Danair and Danlagement Dlane	
Repair and Replacement Plans Three of work to be performed.	Wark dans by Wark to be dans by contract [1]
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Widening of existing bridge with deck rehabilitation or replacement. [34]	Bridge improvement cost 64000 Roadway improvement cost 2000
	Length of structure improvement 47.5 m = 155.8 ft Total project cost 66000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum c	riteria [7]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Not Applicable [N]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring h	high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Not Applicable [N]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry								
Scour	Countermeasures have been	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]								
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well verequired or are in a stable con		evices such as spur dikes and em	bankment protection are not						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	cy Equal to present desirable cri	teria [8]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	77.5						
Culverts Shrinkage cracks, light scaling and insignificant spalling which does not expose reinforcing steel. Insignificant damage caused by drift with no misalignment and not requiring corrective action. Some minor scouring has occured near curtain walls, wingwa										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns									
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date April 2013 [0413] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date							
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date							

BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Ver Date: 04/25/2013 Agency: SPOKANE

Status: Released Printed On: 09/30/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 281600823 Page: 1/2 Structure Type

Bridge Name 11TH AVE OC LATAH CREEK **Route** 00823 **Location** 00.15 SE SR195-I90

Structure ID 08542100 MilePost 0.00 Intersecting LATAH CREEK

Inspector's Signature JE			JEM	JEM IDent# G0608				Co-Inspector's Signature				L	AM		_		
										Inspection			ons Performed				
7		Structural Adqcy	(657)	N		Pier/Abut/Protect	(679)	1927		Year Built	(332)		NT	HRS	Date	Rep	Туре
4		Deck Geometry	(658)	7		Scour	(680)	()	Year Rebuilt	(336)	Υ	24	2.5	04/09/2013	Routir	ne
9		Underclearance	(659)	6		Retaining Walls	(682)	48		Oper Rating	(551)					Fract	Crit
5		Operating Level	(660)	9		Pier Protection	(683)	36		Inv Rating	(554)					Under	water
3		Alignment Adqcy	(661)	0		Bridge Rails	(684)	Α		Open Close	(293)					Specia	al
8		WaterwayAdqcy	(662)	0		Transition	(685)	9999		Vert Over Deck	(360)					Interin	n
9		Deck Overall	(663)	0		Guardrails	(686)	0000		Vert Under	(374)					Equip	ment
9		Drains Condition	(664)	0		Terminals	(687)	N		Vert Und Code	(378)					Dama	ge
9		Superstructure	(671)	Ν		Revise Rating	(688)	0.00		Asphalt Depth						Safety	,
0		Number Utilities	(675)			Photos Flag	(691)			Speed Limit						Short	Span
9		Substructure	(676)	Υ		Soundings Flag	(693)					To	otal:	2.5			
8		Chan/Protection	(677)			Measure Clearance	(694)										
7		Culvert	(678)			-						Suff	Ratin	g: 7	6.72 FO	76.72	FO

Elemei	nt	Element Description	Total	Units	State 1	State 2	State 3	State 4			
145		Earth Filled Concrete Arch	110	LF	0	110	0	0			
215 Concrete Abutment		Concrete Abutment	56		0	56	0	0			
3	331 Concrete Bridge Railing		456	LF	0	456	0	0			
3	361 Scour		2	EA	2	0	0	0			
8	800	Asphaltic Concrete (AC) Overlay	4560	SF	4550	10	0	0			
Notes											
		bridge is oriented from the west to the east, with a 5' sirees.	dewalk on the nor	th side. T	he temperature	at the time of	the inspection	was 51			
	There are two cracks with a light amount of efflorescence in the arch at the northwest corner above the spring line. There is one transverse crack measuring 0.016 in the middle of the arch extending halfway across from the south.										
215	There has been no movement noted on the wing walls. There are small hairline cracks with efflorescence in the west abutment.										
331											
361	The winter runoff has been moderate, but no scour activity was observed.										
673	The cracks in the asphalt have been sealed with tar.										

BMS Elements

BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Ver Date: 04/25/2013

Status: Released Printed On: 09/30/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 281600823 Page: 2/2 Structure Type

Bridge Name 11TH AVE OC LATAH CREEK Route 00823 Location 00.15 SE SR195-I90

Structure ID 08542100 MilePost 0.00 Intersecting LATAH CREEK

800 There is an area of sunken asphalt at the edge of the pavement of the west approach.

Repairs

Repair No Pr R Repair Description Noted Maint Verified

Inspections Performed and Resources Required

Report Type Date IT Frq Hrs Insp CertNo Coinsp Note

Routine 04/09/13 Y 24 2.5 JEM G0608 LAM The roadway to the east of bridge was at time of inspection

under way to have sidewalk and paving onto bridge. this

Agency: SPOKANE

was being done under a LID.

Resources Use Hour Min Req Max Notes