

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Washington [53]	Spokane County [063]	Spokane [67000]	00.80 N OF I-90	47-39-45.65 = 47.662681	117-25-15.72 = -117.421033
85293000000000	Highway agency district 6	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	Local Park, Forest, or Reservation Agency	
Route 812	HOWARD STREET	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected	MIDDLE CHANNEL SPOKANE R	
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach Concrete [1]	Kilometerpoint 111 km = 68.8 mi	Year built 1916	Year reconstructed 1963	
1 Truss - Thru [10]	2 Tee beam [04]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 73.8 m = 242.1 ft	Length of maximum span 59.1 m = 193.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 18 m = 59.1 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb	12.2 m = 40.0 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.7 m = 41.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.9 m = 9.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	2.9 m = 9.5 ft		
Deck structure type	Concrete Precast Panels [2]				
Type of wearing surface	Bituminous [6]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Inventory rating 19.8 metric ton = 21.8 tons
	Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]	Operating rating 32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	114	Average daily truck traffi	1	%	Year	2013	Future average daily traffic	90	Year	2034
Road classification	Local (Urban) [19]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	14.6 m = 47.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.78 m = 15.7 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	91000	Roadway improvement cost	9000						
	Length of structure improvement	0 m = 0.0 ft		Total project cost	137000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2013								
	Border bridge - state					Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number									

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for load [P]

Appraisal ratings -  
structural

Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Satisfactory [6]

Appraisal ratings -  
roadway alignment

Equal to present desirable criteria [8]

Condition ratings - substructure

Satisfactory [6]

Appraisal ratings -  
deck geometry

Better than present minimum criteria [7]

Condition ratings - deck

Fair [5]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Equal to present desirable criteria [8]

Status evaluation

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

70.4

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

June 2013 [0613]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Not needed [N]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Every two years [Y24]

Fracture critical inspection date

April 2013 [0413]

Other special inspection

Not needed [N]

Other special inspection date

# BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Ver Date: 08/07/2013

Agency: SPOKANE

Status: Released

Printed On: 09/30/20

Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

**Bridge No.** 375000812

Page: 1/3

**Structure Type**

**Bridge Name** HOWARD ST MIDDLE CHANNEL

**Route** 00812

**Location** 00.80 N OF I-90

**Structure ID** 08529300

**MilePost** 0.69

**Intersecting** MIDDLE CHANNEL SPOKANE R

Inspector's Signature JEM

IDent# G0608

Co-Inspector's Signature LAM

										Inspections Performed				
5		Structural Adqcy (657)	N		Pier/Abut/Protect (679)	1916	Year Built	(332)	IT	NT	HRS	Date	Rep	Type
7		Deck Geometry (658)	8		Scour (680)	1963	Year Rebuilt	(336)	Y	24	11.5	06/18/2013	Routine	
9		Underclearance (659)	4		Retaining Walls (682)	36	Oper Rating	(551)	Y	24	7.0	04/18/2013	Fract Crit	
3		Operating Level (660)	9		Pier Protection (683)	22	Inv Rating	(554)					Underwater	
8		Alignment Adqcy (661)	0		Bridge Rails (684)	P	Open Close	(293)					Special	
8		WaterwayAdqcy (662)	0		Transition (685)	1508	Vert Over Deck	(360)					Interim	
6	5	Deck Overall (663)	0		Guardrails (686)	0000	Vert Under	(374)					Equipment	
5		Drains Condition (664)	0		Terminals (687)	N	Vert Und Code	(378)					Damage	
6		Superstructure (671)			Revise Rating (688)	0.00	Asphalt Depth						Safety	
6		Number Utilities (675)			Photos Flag (691)		Speed Limit						Short Span	
6		Substructure (676)			Soundings Flag (693)									
8		Chan/Protection (677)			Measure Clearance (694)									
9		Culvert (678)												
										Total: 18.5				
										Suff Rating: 71.45		70.44		

## BMS Elements

Element	Element Description	Total	Units	State 1	State 2	State 3	State 4
115	Prestressed Concrete Girder	2178	LF	1903	275	0	0
126	Steel Thru Truss	388	LF	300	88	0	0
152	Steel Floor Beam	572	LF	342	220	10	0
162	Steel Pin	36	EA	23	13	0	0
205	Concrete Pile/Column	4	EA	0	4	0	0
215	Concrete Abutment	120	LF	0	110	10	0
310	Elastomeric Bearing	98	EA	0	98	0	0
311	Moveable Bearing (roller, sliding, etc)	22	EA	0	22	0	0
330	Metal Bridge Railing	484	LF	484	0	0	0
357	Pack Rust	32	EA	11	21	0	0
800	Asphaltic Concrete (AC) Overlay	9680	SF	8840	800	40	0

## Notes

## BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Ver Date: 08/07/2013

Agency: SPOKANE

Status: Released

Printed On: 09/30/20

Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

<b>Bridge No.</b> 375000812	Page: 2/3	<b>Structure Type</b>
<b>Bridge Name</b> HOWARD ST MIDDLE CHANNEL	<b>Route</b> 00812	<b>Location</b> 00.80 N OF I-90
<b>Structure ID</b> 08529300	<b>MilePost</b> 0.69	<b>Intersecting</b> MIDDLE CHANNEL SPOKANE R

0	The bridge is oriented from the south to the north. The bridge is posted. Fencing has been installed closing off the sidewalks on both sides of the bridge. The temperature during the inspection ranged from 65 degrees to 90 degrees.
115	The girders supporting the sidewalks are breaking away at the ends, losing substantial portions of their bearing areas. For this reason, the sidewalks have been closed to the public. Some of the girders in the main span have hairline cracks in the webs above the center bearings.
126	There is pack rust on the lower truss members, particularly in the joints between the cross beams and the vertical truss members causing distortions of up to 1.125-inch in the affected plates. The cover plates on vertical members L13-U6, L24-U12, L32-U23 and L34-U25 are all missing the same four rivets. All of the truss members have some areas of peeling paint and light rust. There are several slightly bent lattice bars and cover plates scattered throughout the truss.
152	The cross beams under the expansion joints are rusting on both the top and bottom flanges and along the bottom of the web plates. There is pack rust in the joints between the cross beams and the vertical truss members ranging in thickness from 0.25" to 1.125" and in length from 6" to 52".
162	<p>162 Steel Pins are UT inspected on an alternating frequency.</p> <p>In 2013, fourteen pins were ultrasonically tested. Previous possible indications noted in Pin U22 could not be found. No previous saved pin shot was available showing the possible indications to allow further evaluation at this point. Pin was kept in CS2 until follow on inspections can confirm the indication or evaluate it as non relevant.</p> <p>Pin L32 was recorded as having a questionable indication in 2011 that were somewhat coincident within a 2" length centered around the midpoint of the pin. Indications are distinct, but not sharp, spikes between 20% and 29% with the back shoulder shown at 98%. The location of the indications is within a theoretical zero shear force region on the pins. Based on the degree of corrosion present around the ends of the many of the pins within the eye-bars, the indications are suspect as being related to surface corrosion within the pin sleeve. Defect is not determined to affect the capacity of the structure and the pin was placed in CS2 for more frequent monitoring. Indications were identified as having no significant change in 2013.</p> <p>The following pins were identified at Visual Condition State 2 for noted pack rust in and around the eye bar members and cover plates: L4, M8, L13, M14, M15, M16, L24, L28, L30, L32 and L34 See photos #3, #4, #5 and #6.</p> <p>For additional and specific information, see "Visual Fracture Critical Report", "Pin Summary", Pin Inspection Schedule" and "UT Report" attached to the files tab.</p>
205	The columns on the north end have some light, leaching cracks.

## BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

Ver Date: 08/07/2013

Agency: SPOKANE

Status: Released

Printed On: 09/30/20

Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

<b>Bridge No.</b> 375000812	Page: 3/3	<b>Structure Type</b>
<b>Bridge Name</b> HOWARD ST MIDDLE CHANNEL	<b>Route</b> 00812	<b>Location</b> 00.80 N OF I-90
<b>Structure ID</b> 08529300	<b>MilePost</b> 0.69	<b>Intersecting</b> MIDDLE CHANNEL SPOKANE R

215	The abutments consist of concrete sills poured on top of the basalt on the south end and native ground covered in large pieces of broken basalt placed so as to form a wall on the north end. There are two pipe ends protruding through the north abutment. The concrete sill has large cracks at each of these pipes.
310	The bearing pads are protruding out from under the girders in a few places, but they remain functional.
311	The roller bearings on the north end are dirty and leaking an oily substance.
330	The railing has isolated areas of light rust.
357	There is pack rust in the joints where the cross beams attach to the vertical truss members varying in thickness from 0.25" and 1.25". There is pack rust varying in thickness from 0.125" and 0.25" in the joints between some of the plates around Pin L28. There is pack rust distorting the edges of the cover plates at Joints L13, L24, L34 and L32. There is some pack rust developing on the top flanges of the cross beams located under the expansion joints
674	The estimated weight of steel in the bridge is 150 tons. The paint on the bridge is failing at most joints and in highly exposed areas.
800	The asphalt surfacing is heavily cracked and has several large patches. The larger cracks have been sealed with tar.

### Repairs

Repair No	Pr	R	Repair Description	Noted	Maint	Verified

### Inspections Performed and Resources Required

Report Type	Date	IT	Frq	Hrs	Insp	CertNo	Coinsp	Note
Routine	06/18/13		24	11.5	JEM	G0608	LAM	Load rating will not allow any Ubit inspections. Small bucket truck will be used for upper truss inspection and lower truss will be a climbing inspection. A cable lifeline has been installed on both lower sides of the bridge.
<b>Resources</b>			<b>Use</b>	<b>Hour</b>	<b>Min</b>	<b>Req</b>	<b>Max</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Bucket			BK	4.00				smaller bucket truck to 20'lift
Fracture Critical	04/18/13		24	7.0	JEM	G0608	GAS	UT inspection 4-18-13
<b>Resources</b>			<b>Use</b>	<b>Hour</b>	<b>Min</b>	<b>Req</b>	<b>Max</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Bucket			BK	3.00				