# HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							47-14-59.34 =	122-24-51.16
Washington [53]	Pierce County [05	3]	Tacoma [70000]	0.7 N JCT I-5	47.249817	= -122.414211		
85015000000000	Highway age	ency district 3	Owner City or Municipa	I Highway Agency	[04] Maintenance	e responsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 3262	EA	ST LINCOLN AVE	Toll On fre	e road [3]	Features interse	cted PUYALLUP I	RIVER	
Design - Main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach  Steel  String	[3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1929 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed 1944	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length 142 m = Inventory Route, Tota		Length of maximum sp nce 9.1 m = 29.9 ft	an 51.8 m = 170.0 ft  Curb or sidewalk w	Deck width, out	-to-out 10.7 m = 35.	1 ft Bridge road	way width, curb-to-cu	9.1 m = 29.9 ft 1 m = 3.3 ft
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Monolithic Concrete (	concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengtl 0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Wichiod to dete	ermine inventory rating ermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]  Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating Operating rating	33.3 metric ton = 44.1 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]			18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 6000 Average daily tru	uck traffi 36 % Year 2011 Future average daily traffic	8788 Year 2030
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.8 m = 32.2 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	,
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clear	rance over bridge roadway 5.69 m = 18.7 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral undercle	earance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fea	ature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]	
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 139000 Roadway im	nprovement cost 14000
deterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 128.9 m = 422.9 ft	Total project cost 209000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013	
	Border bridge - state Bo	order bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment								
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	to be stable for the asso	essed or calcula	ted scour condition	. [8]					
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well veq required or are in a stable cond	getated. River control d dition. [8]	evices such as s	spur dikes and emb	ankment protection are not					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable crite	eria [8]	St							
Pier or abutment protection			Sı	ufficiency rating	74					
Culverts Not applicable. Used  Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - transition										
Traffic safety features - approach										
Traffic safety features - approach										
Inspection date October 201	2 [1012] Designated inspec	tion frequency 24	Mon	ths						
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date	September 2008	3 [0908]					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date October 2012 [1012]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date							

Ver Date: 12/03/2013

Agency: TACOMA

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. F12 Page: 1/4 Structure Type

Bridge Name EAST LINCOLN AVENUE Route 03262 Location 0.7 N JCT I-5

Structure ID 08501500 MilePost 10.00 Intersecting PUYALLUP RIVER

Inspe	ector	's Signature	SDS	S IDent# G9912			Co-Inspector's Signature EBV							_		
													Ins	pecti	ons Perf	ormed
6		Structural Adqcy	(657)	N		Pier/Abut/Protect	(679)	19	29	Year Built	(332)	IT	NT	HRS	Date	Rep Type
4		Deck Geometry	(658)	8		Scour	(680)	19	44	Year Rebuilt	(336)	Υ	24	2.0	10/12/2012	Routine
9		Underclearance	(659)	6		Retaining Walls	(682)	49		Oper Rating	(551)	Υ	24	8.0	10/12/2012	Pract Crit
5		Operating Level	(660)	9		Pier Protection	(683)	37		Inv Rating	(554)	D	60	2.5	09/19/2013	Underwater
6		Alignment Adqcy	(661)	0		Bridge Rails	(684)	Α		Open Close	(293)					Special
8		WaterwayAdqcy	(662)	0		Transition	(685)	1808		Vert Over Deck	(360)					Interim
8		Deck Overall	(663)	0		Guardrails	(686)	0000		Vert Under	(374)					Equipment
8		Drains Condition	(664)	0		Terminals	(687)	N		Vert Und Code	(378)					Damage
6		Superstructure	(671)	Υ		Revise Rating	(688)	0.00		Asphalt Depth						Safety
3		Number Utilities	(675)			Photos Flag	(691)	35		Speed Limit						Short Span
6		Substructure	(676)	Υ		Soundings Flag	(693)			_		To	tal:	2.5		
8		Chan/Protection	(677)			Measure Clearance	(694)									
9		Culvert	(678)			-						Suff	Ratin	ıg: 7:	3.95	73.95

	BN	IS Element	S				
Element	Element Description	Total	Units	State 1	State 2	State 3	State 4
12	Concrete Deck	14100	SF	14100	0	0	0
35	Concrete Deck Soffit	14100	SF	14100	0	0	0
90	Steel Rolled Girder	234	LF	234	0	0	0
113	Steel Stringer	2520	LF	2520	0	0	0
126	Steel Thru Truss	840	LF	840	0	0	0
152	Steel Floor Beam	759	LF	759	0	0	0
214	Concrete Web Wall between Columns	3	LF	3	0	0	0
215	Concrete Abutment	103	LF	103	0	0	0
227	Concrete Submerged Pile/Column	6	EA	6	0	0	0
234	Concrete Pier Cap / Crossbeam	36	LF	36	0	0	0
266	Concrete Sidewalk & Supports	3995	SF	3990	0	5	0
311	Moveable Bearing (roller, sliding, etc)	12	EA	0	0	12	0

Ver Date: 12/03/2013 Agency: TACOMA

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. F12 Page: 2/4 Structure Type

Bridge Name EAST LINCOLN AVENUE Route 03262 Location 0.7 N JCT I-5

**Structure ID** 08501500 MilePost 10.00 Intersecting **PUYALLUP RIVER** 

313	Fixed Bearing	12	EA	0	12	0	0
330	Metal Bridge Railing	940	LF	818	120	2	0
340	Metal Pedestrian Railing	470	LF	350	120	0	0
361	Scour	3	EA	3	0	0	0
362	Impact Damage	2	EA	1	1	0	0
402	Hot Poured and/or Premolded Joint Filler	510	LF	510	0	0	0
404	Compression Seal / Concrete Header	128	LF	128	0	0	0
412	Strip Seal - Anchored	38	LF	38	0	0	0
904	Organic Zinc/Urethane Paint System	0	SF	0	0	0	0

#### Notes

- Bridge inventoried west to east; west toward Portland Ave. UBIT deployable only on the south side of bridge.
- 1 Full fracture critical inspection performed in 2012. A standard bucket truck was used to inspect the upper truss and a UB50 was used to inspect the floor system from the south side. See fracture critical report in the files tab for the 2012 FC Inspection.
- Underwater inspection of the East Lincoln Avenue Bridge was conducted by Echelon Engineering on September 19, 2013. Piers 2-4 were located in the channel at the time of the inspection. Based on the observed condition, all inspected substructure components appear sound. No evidence of any cracking, spalling or other significant deterioration of the underwater concrete elements was noted. Minor abrasive scale (i.e. ~1/2 inch deep) was note on all surfaces exposed to flow. Inspection of the Pier 2 web wall noted minor spalling along a horizontal cold joint. Additionally, openings in the Pier 3 and 4 web walls were noted where square timbers that had been cast into the concrete, have deteriorated, are now missing and have been subsequently filled with concrete. Although minor spalling of the edges and minor voids were evident, no rust bleeding, exposed reinforcing or other significant damage was noted to be associated with these openings. Inspection of the Pier 3 and 4 web walls also noted cracking and minor deterioration of the horizontal cold joints. No exposure of the footings or of the foundation piles was found. Minor debris build-up was evident at the upstream end of Pier 3 and trapped in the downstream timber debris deflectors of Piers 3 and 4. This debris build-up has caused localized scour in these areas. No other significant general or localized scour patterns were identified. Conditions appear similar to that reported in the 2008 underwater inspection report.
- 12 A new deck was constructed in 2011 for spans 2 and 3. Spans 1 and 4 were provided with a modified concrete overaly.
- 35 Spans 2 and 3 were newly constructed in 2011. Spans 1 and 4 have some spalls and hairline cracks in the soffit
- 90 Bridge was painted in 2011. All rust was sand blasted away and 3 coats of paint applied. No rust visible on any of the girders.
- 113 All stringers have been sand blasted and painted. Stringer run in the direction of the truss. No defects noted.
- 126 The truss was sand blasted to bare metal and repainted in 2011. High loads have impacted several of the sway braces. No defects were found in the truss.
  - 2012 FC Inspection. See notes in the files tab for FC inspection.
- 152 All floor beams were sand blasted to bare metal in 2011 and repainted. See additional BMS comments for this element in the files tab.
- 214 2012 Inspection. No change from last inspection. Web walls at Piers 2, 3, and 4. Wall at Pier 2 has vertical leaching cracks on the east face.
- 215 Abutment 1 has several cracks, but none of concern. Abutment 2 has a new wall from the 2011 repair.

Ver Date: 12/03/2013

Agency: TACOMA

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. F12 Page: 3/4 Structure Type

Bridge Name EAST LINCOLN AVENUE Route 03262 Location 0.7 N JCT I-5

Structure ID 08501500 MilePost 10.00 Intersecting PUYALLUP RIVER

Repa	ir No	Pr R	Repair S  Repair Description Noted	Maint	Verifie							
904	The enti	re bridge v	as sand blasted down to bare metal and painted in 2011. All paint is in new condition.									
693	Sounding	g was take	n on 10/28/2010 by the inspection team, see File SI-3A.									
680	The 680 scour cri		peen changed from 3 to 8. See the attached Bridge Scour Evaluation in the Files tab. This bridge	e is pile support	ed and not							
676	Substru	cture Ov	rall is coded '6' due to the condition of the columns which have minor deterioration from	n abrasion.								
675	3 ft. dia 12" diar	meter cas	cations as follows: t iron pipe attached to outside face of the north truss. suspended off south edge of south sidewalk (carries petroleum products). under south sidewalk.									
671		•	he superstructure overall is coded a 6 becuase there is some section loss in the floor beams. The floor beams have been made composite by constructing a new deck in 2011.	ne active corrosi	ion has beer							
412	A new st	rip seal jo	nt was provided in 2011 at pier 3.									
404	New compression seals are located at abutment 1, pier 2, pier 4 and abutment 5. All compression seals were installed in 2011 and look to be in like new condition, except abutment 1 has some minor cracking.											
402			ts are located in spans 2, 3, $\&$ 4. These joints are not true expansion joints as they do not exter look in new condition.	nd full depth. A	ll joints were							
362	Impact o	lamage to	east portal and sway frames. Traffic scrapes on south truss.									
361			& pier 3 are in the water. Abutment 4 is on land and well above typical water level. A Bridge Sc See Files Tab. The bridge is not scour critical and is functioning well.	our Evaluation	form was							
340	The pede	estrian rail	ng was sand blasted and painted in 2011. No defects noted.									
330	The met	al railig wa	s sand blasted and painted in 2011. No defects noted.									
313	Fixed be	arings are	at Piers 2 and 4. The bearings have been cleaned and painted as part of the 2011 repair project.									
311	Moveable bearings are located at Piers 1, 3, and 5. The moveable bearings were cleaned and painted as part of the 2011 repair project.											
266			Ilk was not replaced as part of the 2011 repair. There are cracks and some spalls throughout the ave been repairs.	sidewalk. Mos	t of the spal							
234	All pier c	aps have l	een cleaned as part of 2011 repair.									
227	approximately 1" depth below high water line, but no exposed rebar was found. Column 38 has vertical leaching cracks at the top, southwest face.											

Inspections Performed and Resources Required									
Report Type	<u>Date</u>	<u>IT</u>	Frq	<u>Hrs</u>	<u>Insp</u>	<u>Cer</u>	<u>tNo</u>	<u>Coinsp</u>	<u>Note</u>
Routine	10/12/12		24	2.0	DRS	G0	604	cns	2012 Routine and FC inspections
Resources	5		Use	Hour	Min	Req	Max	(	Notes
Scheduling	Restriction	ns	TRF C					The 2	008 traffic window was from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm

Ver Date: 12/03/2013

Agency: TACOMA

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. F12 Page: 4/4 Structure Type

Bridge Name EAST LINCOLN AVENUE Route 03262 Location 0.7 N JCT I-5

Structure ID 08501500 MilePost 10.00 Intersecting PUYALLUP RIVER

Third Party I	Notification	ОТ					Contact Jim Fraley, Damage Protection Team Leader of British Petroleum, 425-981-2517 prior to inspection.
Fracture Critical	10/12/12	24	8.0	DRS	G00	604	cns
Resources		Use	Hour	Min	Req	Max	Notes
UBIT		50	4.00		50	50	UBIT inspection in 2008 with UB60 deployed over the ends of the trusses, UB50 deployed through the south truss. Panel points too small for UB60 and bridge too wide for UB30. Power cables and large utility pipes on adjacent structure prevent deploying off the north side.
Bucket		BK	4.00		BK	BK	A bucket truck was used in 2008 to inspect the upper truss members. The heat straightening truck could also be used for the upper connection inspection.
Platform		ANY	0.00		ANY	ANY	Heat straightening truck used in 2002 and 2004 to inspect the upper truss members.
Flagging		LA	10.00				Contact the City of Tacoma at 253-591-5263 to arrange for traffic control.
Underwater	09/19/13	D 60	2.5	SDS	G99	912	EBV Echelon Engineering performed the underwater inspection on 9/19/2013.
Resources		Use	Hour	Min	Req	Max	Notes