## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							48-31-36.00 =	121-25-48.00
Washington [53]	Skagit County [057]		Skagit [99057]	.03 E JCT SR 20			48.526667	= -121.430000
82288000000000	Highway agency	/ district: 1	Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance re	sponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 97950	CASCA	ADE RIVER RD	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	SKAGIT RIV	/ER	
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru	[10]	approach	rete [1] nel beam [22]	Kilometerpoint 0.1 I Year built 1930 Skew angle 0	km = 0.1 mi Year recor	istructed N/A	[0000]	
T	((0.1.5)		070.08	Historical significance	Bridge is n	ot eligible for th		1 (4 0000
Total length 201.8 m			an 85.3 m = 279.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou			lway width, curb-to-cu	
Inventory Route, Total		6.1 m = 20.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$		Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type		oncrete Cast-in-Pla						
Type of wearing surfac	e Mc	onolithic Concrete (	concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine	ne inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] Inve	entory rating 18	8.1 metric ton =	= 19.9 tons	
3.2 km = 2.0 mi	Method to determine	ne operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS	) [2] Ope	erating rating 29	9 metric ton = 3	31.9 tons	
	Bridge posting E	Equal to or above lo	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load M 13.	5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 585 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 1057 Year 2032								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  5.41 m = 17.8 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 264000 Roadway improvement cost 26000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 201.8 m = 662.1 ft Total project cost 396000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2016								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerab	e action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations deter	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present mini	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection				ency rating	55.8			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	d feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected Inpected	ted feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpe		d feature meets currently acce						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpected	d feature meets currently acce	ptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date March 2016	[0316] Designated	inspection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	spection date Ma	arch 2016 [03	16]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					