# HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inform	mation									47-29-13.50 =	120-24-53.60
Washington	[53] C	helan County	[007]	Monito	[46615]	00.07W S	R 97			47.487083	= -120.414889
8173600000	00000	Highway a	agency district 2	Owner	County Highw	ay Agency [02	2]	Maintenanc	e responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 9420	00		OLD MONITOR ROA	AD	Toll On t	free road [3]		Features interse	ected WENATCH	EE RIVER	
main	oncrete cont rch - Deck [1		approach	Concrete conti Slab [01]	nuous [2]	Kilometerp Year built Skew angl	1930 le 25	Structure	econstructed N/A	[0000] the NRHP. [5]	
Total length	108.8 m =	357.0 ft	Length of maximu	m span 39.9 ı	n = 130.9 ft	Deck wid	dth, out-to-	out 9.4 m = 30.	8 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-c	urb 6.1 m = 20.0 ft
Inventory Rou	oute, Total Ho	orizontal Clear	rance 6.1 m = 20.0	) ft (	Curb or sidewalk	width - left	1.2 m = 3	3.9 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.2 m = 3.9 ft
Deck structur	ire type		Concrete Cast-ir	n-Place [1]							
Type of wear	ring surface		Monolithic Conc	rete (concurre	ntly placed with s	structural deck	:) [1]				
Deck protecti	tion										
Type of mem	nbrane/weari	ng surface									
Weight Limit	Weight Limits										
Bypass, deto		Method to de	etermine inventory r	mine inventory rating  Allowable Stress(AS)			Ir	nventory rating	27.9 metric ton	= 30.7 tons	
0.8  km = 0.5	5 mi	Method to de	etermine operating r	ating A	lowable Stress(A	AS) [2]	C	Operating rating	43.2 metric ton	= 47.5 tons	
		Bridge posti	ng Equal to or abo	ove legal load	S [5]			Design Load M	18 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 2345 Average daily tru	ck traffi 4 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 3292 Year 2029
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bric	ge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
D : 10 1 10	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 168000 Roadway improvement cost 17000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 121.9 m = 400.0 ft Total project cost 252000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum crit	deria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for assesso	ed or calculated scour condition.	[5]					
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well v required or are in a stable con		evices such as spur dikes and er	nbankment protection are not					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]					
Pier or abutment protection			73.7						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends								
Inspection date August 2011 [0811] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Jnknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ction date August 2011 [	0811]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date							

Ver Date: 10/24/2013

Agency: Chelan County

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 305 Page: 1/5 Structure Type

Bridge Name MONITOR Route 94200 Location 00.07W SR 97

Structure ID 08173600 MilePost 1.56 Intersecting WENATCHEE RIVER

Inancatoria Cignatura DUC		IDan# C0444			Co Inchestoria Signatura			JH			_						
Inspector's Signature PHC				IDent# G0114	Co-Inspector's Signature				JI	1							
													Ins	pect	ions Perf	ormed	ı
6		Structural Adqcy	(657)	N		Pier/Abut/Protect	(679)	19	30	Year Built	(332)	IT	NT	HRS	Date	Rep	Туре
2		Deck Geometry	(658)	5		Scour	(680)	(	)	Year Rebuilt	(336)	Υ	24	1.5	08/12/2013	Routin	ne
9		Underclearance	(659)	9		Retaining Walls	(682)	48		Oper Rating	(551)					Fract	Crit
5		Operating Level	(660)	9		Pier Protection	(683)	31		Inv Rating	(554)	D	60	3.5	08/31/2011	Under	water
8		Alignment Adqcy	(661)	0		Bridge Rails	(684)	Α		Open Close	(293)					Specia	al
6		WaterwayAdqcy	(662)	0		Transition	(685)	9999		Vert Over Deck	(360)					Interin	n
7	6	Deck Overall	(663)	0		Guardrails	(686)	0000		Vert Under	(374)	Υ	48	1.5	08/12/2013	Equip	ment
7		Drains Condition	(664)	0		Terminals	(687)	N		Vert Und Code	(378)					Dama	ge
6		Superstructure	(671)			Revise Rating	(688)	1.00		Asphalt Depth						Safety	,
1		Number Utilities	(675)			Photos Flag	(691)	25		Speed Limit						Short	Span
6		Substructure	(676)			Soundings Flag	(693)			-		To	tal:	3.0			
8		Chan/Protection	(677)	· į		Measure Clearance	(694)										
9		Culvert	(678)									Suff	Ratin	ng: 7	3.72 FO	73.84	FO

	BMS Elements										
Element	Element Description	Total	Units	State 1	State 2	State 3	State 4				
13	Bridge Deck Surface	10960	SF	10115	140	705	0				
35	Concrete Deck Soffit	10960	SF	10960	0	0	0				
38	Concrete Slab	10960	SF	10952	3	5	0				
144	Concrete Arch	357	LF	356	0	1	0				
150	Concrete Column on Spandrel Arch	112	EA	112	0	0	0				
212	Concrete Submerged Pier Wall	62	LF	62	0	0	0				
215	Concrete Abutment	61	LF	61	0	0	0				
220	Concrete Submerged Pile Cap/Footing	3	EA	2	0	1	0				
234	Concrete Pier Cap / Crossbeam	1680	LF	1680	0	0	0				
266	Concrete Sidewalk & Supports	2984	SF	2924	50	10	0				
331	Concrete Bridge Railing	714	LF	713	1	0	0				
361	Scour	3	EA	2	0	1	0				

Ver Date: 10/24/2013 Agency: Chelan County

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 305 Page: 2/5 **Structure Type** 

**Bridge Name MONITOR** Route 94200 Location 00.07W SR 97

**Structure ID** 08173600 MilePost 1.56 Intersecting WENATCHEE RIVER

402	Hot Poured and/or Premolded Joint Filler	110	LF	110	0	0	0
407	Steel Angle Header	66	LF	66	0	0	0
800	Asphaltic Concrete (AC) Overlay	440	SF	200	180	60	0

### **Notes**

- Bridge is oriented from west to east, opposite of original plans, with SR 2 at the east end. Attempting to correct oreientation in 2013 inspection report.
- Echelon Engineering performed the underwater inspection of the Monitor bridge over the Wenatchee River on August 31, 2011. Based on the observed condition, all inspected substructure components appear sound. Although several spalls and cracks were noted on Pier 2, the damage does not appear to have increased significantly since the previous inspection. The footing was found to be exposed for a maximum height of 4.5 feet. No exposure of the foundation piles was found. No evidence of any debris build-up was in the channel or around the pier. No significant general or localized scour patterns were identified. Conditions appear similar to that reported in the 2006 underwater inspection report.
- 13 DECK: Deck is covered with an ACP overlay in Spans 1 through Midspan 4 . See element note 800.

Deck from Midspan 4 through Span 9 is worn to aggregate with many hairline transverse, longitudinal, and map cracks.

Scattered areas of heavy scaling are up to 3/4" deep and have exposed rebar.

Span 3 (Plan Span 3): many patches; failing in 2 places

Span 5 (Plan Span 2): 76 sqft of scaling with 3" of exposed rebar

Span 5 (Plan Span 2): westbound shoulder has small area that has been patched.

Span 6 (Plan Span 1): 72 sqft of scaling with 12" of exposed rebar due to shallow cover over 3 separate areas.

Span 7 (Plan Span 1): 20 soft of spalls

Span 8 (Plan Span 1): 35 sqft of spalls with 2 places of exposed rebar.

Span 9 (Plan Span 1): 120 sqft delamination and spalls in WB lane

100 sqft delamination and spalls in EB lane

100 sqft delamination and spalls in WB lane Span 10 (Plan Span 1):

20 sqft delamination and spalls in EB lane

WB lane, has full map cracking and appears delaminated throughout with a 3'x6' Last span (Plan Approach Span):

patch

EB lane has 100% moderate scaling

- DECK SOFFIT: Span1 (Plan Approach Span), south, has full length leaching crack, Photo 2011.
- 38 CONCRETE SLAB: The bottom of the Concrete Slab has many longitudinal hairline cracks scattered throughout and the approach spans have a few longitudinal leaching cracks.

Edges have many horizontal hairline cracks to narrow cracks at the construction joints.

Edges are scaling and have shallow delaminations, especially on the north side.

Span 4(Plan Span 3), near Pier 5 (Plan Pier 3): the north edge has a 20" x 7" x 1" deep spall with 15" of exposed rusty rebar.

Span 6 (PSpan 1), near Pier 6 (PP2): the north edge has a 32" x 3" x 3" deep spall with 30" of exposed rusty rebar.

Span 7 (PSpan 1), near Pier 8 (above Plan Pier 1): the south edge spall has been repaired.

Plan Span 1 has a leaching longitudinal crack at centerline.

144 CONCRETE ARCH: Some hairline diagonal and vertical cracks, especially at the piers near the bottom by the construction joints. Some cracks have rust stains.

Span 5 (Plan Span 2), east end, north side: 1' x 1' x 1" deep spall.

Ver Date: 10/24/2013 Agency: Chelan County

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 305 Page: 3/5 Structure Type

Bridge Name MONITOR Route 94200 Location 00.07W SR 97

Structure ID 08173600 MilePost 1.56 Intersecting WENATCHEE RIVER

#### 150 SPANDREL COLUMNS:

Span 1 has 19 rows of Columns.

Span 2 has 16 rows of Columns.

Span 3 has 18 rows of Columns.

Many spandrel columns have hairline diagonal and horizontal cracks. These cracks tend to be at the top and bottom of the columns.

Some of the taller columns, near the piers, have hairline vertical cracks, small delaminations, and shallow spalls with exposed rebar due to lack of cover.

Arches in good shape. Spandrel arches have hairline vertical cracking.

Span 4 Col.14D (Plan Span 3, Col. 1A): 4-feet up south side, has 4" diameter spall with exposed rebar.

Span 4 Col.14C (Plan Span 3, Col. 1B): horizontal crack approximately 1/16" wide and 3/4 around.

Span 5 Col.1A (Plan Span 2, Col. 16D): south face, has full vertical hairline crack.

Span 5 Col.1D (Plan Span 2, Col. 16A): south face has vertical crack.

Span 5 Col.1B and C (Plan Span 2, Col. 16B & C): have full length delamination.

Span 6 Col.14A (Plan Span 1, Col. 6D) on south face: has delamination 1/2 way up to the top of the column. Photo 2011.

Pier 8 Col.A (Plan Pier 1, Col. 3D) at top of NE corner: has diagonal cracking. Photo 2011.

Last row of columns Col.1A (Plan Pier 1, Col. 1A): SW edge has 5' crack with 3 rebar exposed and spalls on east face.

Span 2 (Plan Span 1, Col. 16A): east joint cracking and scaling,

Midspan 1 on soffit and column cap have hairline cracking at column arches.

Span 3, 6 and 7 (Plan Pier 4, Span 1 and Pier 1) have leaching cracks in soffit at midspan.

SPANDREL BENT CAPS: The spandrel bent caps have vertical cracks between girder lines B and C.

Many of the expansion joint split bent caps, near the 1/3 points, have horizontal hairline to narrow cracks which run across the entire width of the bridge and extend into the sidewalk supports.

Pier 7 Col. B (Plan Pier 1, Col. 4C): has 1/16" crack. Photo 2011.

9A (Plan Pier 1, Col. 2D): NW face at base of bent cap has large delamination.

10A (Plan Pier 1, Col. 1D): NW face at base of bent cap has crack.

212 CONC SUBMERGED PIER WALLS: Pier walls have hairline to narrow cracks, delaminations, and are moderately abraded on the north upstream side.

The nose of Plan Pier 3 has cracks on west face, 2013 Photo.

#### 215 CONCRETE ABUTMENT:

Concrete abutment crossbeams have hairline vertical, diagonal, and map cracks.

At the west abutment, the soil is sloughing below the pier cap and creating small voids.

At the east abutment, sloughing soil which was causing approach settlement due to loss of fill material has been repaired using gabions and ecology blocks.

East abutment, north, has a diagonal crack 1/16" wide. Concrete is exfoliating on southerly end.

220 CONC SUMBERGED FOOTING: Piers 4 and 7 are thrust blocks for the arch and are Abutment 1 and 4 on the Plans.

Pier 5 (Plan Pier 2): south side of the footing is heavily exfoliated.

Pier 6 (Plan Pier 3): the pier wall footing is exposed. See element 361.

234 CROSSBEAMS: The approach span crossbeams have hairline vertical cracks between column lines B and C.

Ver Date: 10/24/2013 Agency: Chelan County

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 305 Page: 4/5 Structure Type

Pr R

Repair No

**Bridge Name** MONITOR **Route** 94200 **Location** 00.07W SR 97

Structure ID 08173600 MilePost 1.56 Intersecting WENATCHEE RIVER

266	SIDEWALK: The sidewalk surface has areas of scaling and shallow spalls, typical throughout. The bottom of the sidewalk has transverse hairline cracks, typical throughout. Several areas of curb top edges have been patched and now show exfoliated areas on the vertical faces below these patches. Many sidewalk support corbels have hairline to narrow cracks and delaminations. Some of these cracks are at the interface with the slab.  Spall on north face between spandrel columns 2A & 3A full width Spall on north face between spandrel columns 3 & 4, 3-feet long.  On Plan Span 3 Arch, SW side. a corbel above the waterline conduit cracked and has a large spall, no rebar observed.							
331	CONC. BRIDGE RAILING: Many sections of bridge rail have been completely replaced. There is 38 lineal feet of top rail patches. In Span 4 (Plan Span 3), the south rail has a 10" x 8" x 6" deep top corner spall.							
361	SCOUR: The Wenatchee River flows north to south. Piers 5, 6, and 7 (Plan Piers 3, 2, and 1 respectively) are in the normal high water. Riprap is in place at Piers 5 and 7 (Plan Piers 3 and 1), at Pier 6 (Plan Pier 2) riprap is missing.  At Pier 6 (Plan Pier 2), the entire top of the footing and the vertical face of the upstream side is exposed: YEAR NW Corner 4 ft. east of NW Corner Centerline NE corner 2009 1.5 ft. 4 ft. 4.5 ft. 2005 1.0 ft NA 4.0 ft.  Vertical exposure on the east and west faces was minimal.							
402								
407	STEEL ANGLE HEADER: Joint at Pier 4 has been paved over.       Measurements are taken at the north fogline.         Year       P4(PP4)       P5(PP3)       P6(PP2)       P7(PP1)       Time       Temperature         2013       7/8"       1"       10:30 am       55° & 64° F         2009       No joint.       7/8"       7/8"       1-1/4"       3:00 pm       85° F         2007       1-1/4"       3/4"       3/4"       1-1/8"       4:00 pm       85° F         2005       3/4"       1"       1-1/4"       NA       10:00 am       55° F							
664	DRAINS: Open in 2013							
675	UTILITIES: A 20" diameter wrapped pipe has been installed under the south sidewalk. The pipe has serpentine bends.							
681	APPROACHES: Approaches have less than 1" of settlement with transverse cracks in the ACP.  East approach, some potholes have been patched, also new potholes.  The ACP sidewalk at NE approach has been repaired.							
684	Concrete baluster rail does not meet current crash test standards.							
685	Transitions are not in place.							
686	Guardrails are not in place.							
687	Terminals are not in place.							
693	Soundings taken 2011.							
800	OVERLAY: There is an ACP overlay in Spans 1 through Midspan 4 (over Plan Pier 4).  ACP has approximately 180 sqft of patches and many small areas that are worn through exposing the deck.  Spans 1 through 4 (over Plan Pier 4) received BST in 2010. BST covers joints between Span 2 and Span 3. There are potholes in the BST overlay.							
	Repairs							

**Repair Description** 

Maint

Noted

Verified

Ver Date: 10/24/2013

Agency: Chelan County

Status: Released Printed On: 10/03/20 Program Mgr: Roman G. Peralta

Bridge No. 305 Page: 5/5 Structure Type

Bridge Name MONITOR Route 94200 Location 00.07W SR 97

Structure ID 08173600 MilePost 1.56 Intersecting WENATCHEE RIVER

10003 2 B	08/28/07
10004 2 B	08/12/13
10005 2 B	08/12/13
10011 2 J	08/12/13
10007 3 J	08/22/11 07/10/13
10010 3 B	08/12/13

Inspections Performed and Resources Required									
Report Type	<u>Date</u>	<u>IT</u> Fr	q <u>Hrs</u>	Insp	Cer	rtNo	<u>Coinsp</u>	<u>Note</u>	
Routine	08/12/13	2	4 1.5	PHC	G0	114	JH		
Resource	es	Us	e Hour	Min	Req	Max		Notes	
UBIT		30	1.50	ANY	ANY	ANY	UB50 used in	າ 2009.	
UBIT		30	2.00	)			2013 inspect	ion	
Underwater	08/31/11	D 6	3.5	SDS	G9	912	EBV		
Resource	es	Us	e Hour	Min	Req	Max		Notes	
Equipment	08/12/13	4	3 1.5	PHC	G0	114			
Resource	s	Us	e Hour	Min	Req	Max		Notes	
UBIT		30	1.50	ANY	ANY	ANY	50' used in 2	2009	
UBIT		30	2.00	)			2013 Inspect 360.570.255	ion. Coordinate with Craig Yasuda 2	
Informational	07/22/13			PHC	G0	114	JH		
Resource	es	Us	e Hour	Min	Req	Max		Notes	