HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2017 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					45-44-33.40 =	122-32-46.90	
Washington [53]	Clark County [011]	Clark [99011]	5.4 N JCT SR 503		45.742611	= -122.546361	
000000HE0000000 Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance respons	nce responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 50359 Caples Road Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SALMON CR							
Design - Concrete [1] 1 Culvert [19]	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Year built 1923 Skew angle 0	m = 0.1 mi Year reconstruct Structure Flared			
			Historical significance		ance is not determinable at th	nis time. [4]	
Total length24.4 m = 80.1 ftLength of maximum span15.2 m = 49.9 ftDeck width, out-to-out7.9 m = 25.9 ftBridge roadway width, curb-to-curb7.2 m = 23.6 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.2 m = 23.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft							
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]							
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]							
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inventory rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Inver	ntory rating 65.3 me	etric ton = 71.8 tons		
1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine operating rating	Load and Resistance	e Factor(LRFR) [3] Oper	rating rating 89.8 me	etric ton = 98.8 tons		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	Desi	gn Load			

Eurotional Dataila							
Functional Details		_					
Average Daily Traffic 1640 Average daily traffic	uck traffi 11 % Year 2012 Fu	ture average daily traffic 4	Year 203	2			
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wic	th 7.3 m = 24.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge mediar				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizont	al clearance 0 = N/A]			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	lge	Minimum vertical clearance	ce over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contr	act [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 450000	Roadway impro	vement cost 15000	0			
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 3	0.5 m = 100.1 ft Tota	I project cost 15200	00			
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2013					
	Border bridge - state	Borde	r bridge - percent respon	sibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number		5				
	Dorder bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]			Appraisal ratings - Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] structural				[4]	
Condition ratings - superstructure Not Applicable [N]			Appraisal ratings - Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Not Applicable [N]	A	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intole	re action [3]			
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]		leck geometry					
Scour	Bridge is scour cr	ritical; bridge foun	ndations determined to	be unstable. [3]]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is channel. [5]	s being eroded. F	River control devices a	and/or embankm	nent have major d	amage. Trees and rus	h restrict the	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		Sta	atus evaluation	Structurally deficient	1]	
Pier or abutment protection				Suf	fficiency rating	50.3		
Culverts Large spalls, heavy scaling, wide cracks, considerable efflorescence or opened construction joint permitting loss of backfill. Considerable settlement or misalignment. Considerable scouring or erosion at curtain walls, wingwalls or pipes. Metal culvert								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected features			re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date July 2015 [0]	gnated inspection	n frequency 24	Month	ıs				
Underwater inspection		Underwater inspect	ion date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]		Other special inspection date					