## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										47-39-42.70 =	117-23-40.70
Washington [53] Spokane County [063]			Unknown [00000] 0.1 E JCT OLD S			OLD SR	R 290 SP		47.661861	= -117.394639		
000000FE0000000 Highway agency distr			agency district	6	Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Agency [01]				
Route 290 SR 290			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected SPOKANE				E RIVER					
Design - main  Concrete continuous [2] Design - approach  Arch - Deck [11] 0 0			h	Kilometerpoint 131.9 km = 81.8 mi  Year built 1910 Year reconstructed N/A [0]  Skew angle 25 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for					A [0000]  Sole for the NRHP. [3]			
Total length 156.4 m = 513.1 ft Length of maximum span 30.5 m = 100.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 16.6 m = 54  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.1 m = 3.6 ft								4.5 ft Bridge ro	adway width, curb-to-c dewalk width - right	12.2 m = 40.0 ft 1.1 m = 3.6 ft		
Type of wearing surface  Deck protection  Concrete Cast-in-Place  Bituminous [6]				ce [1]								
·		earing surface										
Weight Li	mits											
Bypass, detour length  0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating  Bridge posting  Equal to or above legal			, ,			Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]			Inventory rating 31.5 metric ton = 34.7 tons  Operating rating 52.2 metric ton = 57.4 tons  Design Load			
			egal loads [5	al loads [5]		]						

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 11457 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 15948 Year 2030						
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 16.8 m = 55.1 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 15314000 Roadway improvement cost 3063000						
acterioration of inadequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 171.6 m = 563.0 ft Total project cost 30627000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010						
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]  Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment							
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge with "unknow	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in Banks and/or channe	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present des	sirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	63.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [I	N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	IS								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inpe	ected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date August 2012	[0812] Designa	ted inspection frequency 12							
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date August 2009 [0809]						
'	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date						