HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						44-15-1	7.76 = 088-23-46.02
Wisconsin [55] Outagamie County [087]		Appleton [02375]	1.3M S JCT STH 96	Н 96		33 = -88.396117	
P44071900000000	Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	I Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance respor	nsibility City or Mun	icipal Highway Agency [04]
Route 0	North [1] LRI	D LAWE ST	Toll On fre	e road [3] Feat	ures intersected N	AVIGATION CANAL	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Movable - H	Bascule [16]	Design - approach 0 Other [00]	Kilometerpoint0 km =Year built1954Skew angle0Historical significance	= 0.0 mi Year reconstru Structure Flared Bridge is not el	ligible for the NRHP. [5]	
Total length 23.5 m	= 77.1 ft L	ength of maximum spa	n 22.9 m = 75.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	8.2 m = 26.9 ft E	Bridge roadway width, cu	urb-to-curb 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	I Horizontal Clearan	ce 6.7 m = 22.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft	C	Curb or sidewalk width - r	ight 0.9 m = 3.0 ft
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]					
Type of wearing surfa	се	Other [9]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour lengtl	h Method to dete	rmine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Invent	ory rating 38.9 r	metric ton = 42.8 tons	
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Opera	ting rating 65.3 r	metric ton = 71.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above leg	gal loads [5]	Desigr	n Load MS 18 / H	S 20 [5]	

Functional Details				
Average Daily Traffic 7637 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2015	Future average daily traffic 840	00 Year 2035	5
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway widt	h 6.7 m = 22.0 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - wa	ay traffic [2]	Bridge median	
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]			
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control		
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horiz	zontal clearance 0 = N/A		
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearance	over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$		Minimum lateral underclearance	ce on left 0 = N/A	
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical	underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]				
Densin and Denlagement Dises				
Repair and Replacement Plans				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by o	wner's forces [2]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 447000	Roadway improve	ment cost 44000	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	28 m = 91.9 ft Total p	project cost 670000	
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2018		
	Border bridge - state	Border k	oridge - percent respons	ibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no	ucture status Open, no restriction [A]			Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - superstruct	re Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	A	ppraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]		deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge fou	ndations determined to b	be stable for the asse	essed or calcu	ulated scour condition	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protectio		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequ	acy Equal to p	resent desirable criteria	ia [8] Status evaluation		Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating 72.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Use	d if structure is not a	a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railing	Inpected feature n	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitions Not applicable			e or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Not applicable			e or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - appro-	ach guardrail ends	Not applicable or a	a safety feature is no	ot required. [N]			
Inspection date May 2018	Designated inspection	frequency 24	M	onths				
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60]			Underwater inspec	rwater inspection date June 2015 [0615]				
Fracture critical inspection Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection of		May 2018 [0518	May 2018 [0518]		
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]		Other special inspection date		April 2018 [0418	3]		