

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Wisconsin [55]	Chippewa County [017]	Chippewa Falls [14575]	0.1 M E STH 124	44-56-13.50 = 44.937083	091-23-28.30 = -91.391194
P09071500000000	Highway agency district: 6	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]		
Route 0	North [1]	LRD CENTRAL STREET	Toll On free road [3]	Features intersected DUNCAN CREEK	
Design - main Steel [3]	Design - approach		Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi	Year built 1934	Year reconstructed #Num!
1	Truss - Thru [10]	0 Other [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	
		Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]			
Total length 41.1 m = 134.8 ft	Length of maximum span 39.7 m = 130.3 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 14.8 m = 48.6 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.8 m = 42.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.9 m = 9.5 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.7 m = 8.9 ft			
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/wearing surface	Unknown [8]				

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	29.2 metric ton = 32.1 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	44.1 metric ton = 48.5 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]	

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	3180	Average daily truck traffi	0	%	Year	2015	Future average daily traffic	3498	Year	2035
Road classification	Local (Urban) [19]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	12.8 m = 42.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.19 m = 13.7 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by owner's forces [2]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	567000	Roadway improvement cost	56000						
	Length of structure improvement	45.7 m = 149.9 ft		Total project cost	850000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2018								
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Posted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating	53.3
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]		
Inspection date	February 1999 [299]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date	
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	February 1999 [299]
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date	