HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2010 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						00-00-00 =	000-00-00 = -	
Wisconsin [55] La Crosse County [063]		La Crosse [40775] 0.2M E JCT USH 14		0.000000	0.000000			
P3207030000000 Highway agency		gency district 5	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal H	lighway Agency [04]		
Route 0 North [1] LRD NO 4 RD			Toll On free	e road [3] Features inter	rsected PETTIBONE	SLOUGH		
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Arch - Deck	[11]	Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint0 km = 0.0 miYear built1931YearSkew angle0StructurHistorical significanceBride	reconstructed 1950 e Flared	e NRHP [5]		
Total length 16.7 m = 54.8 ft Length of maximum span 14.6 m = 47.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 12.4 m = 40.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.3 m = 30.5 ft							urb 9.3 m = 30.5 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft		Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk		Curb or side	walk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]			ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bitumine		Bituminous [6]	uminous [6]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rati		termine inventory rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	24.3 metric ton =	26.7 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine operating rating		g Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating ratin	g 32.4 metric ton =	35.6 tons			
Bridge posting			L	Design Load	M 13.5 / H 15 [2]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 1100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 1210 Year 2029					
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control					
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by					
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0					
	Length of structure improvement0 m = 0.0 ftTotal project cost0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry	is [5]				
Scour	Countermeasures have been	Countermeasures have been installed to mitigate an existing problem with scour. [7]					
Channel and channel protection	Not applicable. [N]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 75.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected feat	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	IS						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date July 2010 [07	710] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	4 Months				
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ection date August 2007 [0807]				
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in:	nspection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date				