HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-12-02.88 =	088-26-46.74
Wisconsin [55]	innebago Count	go County [139] Menasha [5082		[0.1M S JCT STH 114		44.200800	= -88.446317	
B70000100020000 Highway agency district: 3 Or			Owner State Highway	vner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 0 No	rth [1] LRI	D RACINE ST	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	ted FOX RIVER		
Design - main Steel [3] Movable - Bas	cule [16]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 199 Skew angle 0 Historical signif	Structure F	constructed 1988 lared s not eligible for the		
Total length 39.5 m = 129.6 ft Length of maximum span 32.8 m = 107.6 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.6 m = 44.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 2.2 m = 7.2 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.1 m = 6.9 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]						2.1111 – 0.711		
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (co			concurrently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor			cing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	16.2 metric ton =	17.8 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating 27.8 metric ton = 30.6 tons			
	Bridge posting	00.1 - 09.9 % belo	w [4]		Design Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 9600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 17622 Year 2037
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 3 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]
Navigation vertical clearanc 30.2 m = 99.1 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 30.8 m = 101.1 ft
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Donair and Donlacement Dlane	
Repair and Replacement Plans	Wards dama har. Mark to be done by assented forces [2]
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 702000 Roadway improvement cost 70000
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 43.9 m = 144.0 ft Total project cost 1053000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to pres	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	[2]		
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge foundation	s determined to be stable for the ass	essed or calcula	ited scour condition	ı. [8]	
Channel and channel protection		ed or well vegetated. River control d a stable condition. [8]	evices such as s	spur dikes and emb	ankment protection are no	t
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y Equal to present	desirable criteria [8]	St	tatus evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]	
Pier or abutment protection None pre		re-evaluation suggested [5]	Su	ufficiency rating	40.8	
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culver	t. [N]				
Traffic safety features - railings	I	npected feature meets currently acce				
Traffic safety features - transitions		Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]				
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]				
Traffic safety features - approach	ot applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Inspection date April 2018 [0	gnated inspection frequency 12	Mon	nths			
Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspection date				
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection				
Other special inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Other special insp	ection date	June 2018 [0618	8]	

HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							44-11-56.34 =	088-26-42.06
Wisconsin [55]	innebago County	go County [139] Menasha [5082		[0.1M S JCT STH 114		44-11-30.34 =	= -88.445017	
B70000100010000 Highway agency district: 3			Owner State Highway A	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 0 No	rth [1] LRI	O RACINE ST	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersed	ted FOX RIVER		
Design - Steel continuo main 9 Stringer/Multi-l	us [4] Deam or girder [0	Design - approach D2] 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1952 Skew angle 0 Historical signific	Structure F	constructed 1988 lared s not eligible for the		
Total length 209.9 m = 688.7 ft Length of maximum span 23.6 m = 77.4 ft Deck width, out-to-out 13.6 m = 44.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 9.1 m = 29.9 ft						walk width - right	2.1 m = 6.9 ft	
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (c			concurrently placed with str	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinfor		rcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Unknown [8]								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton =	35.6 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Operating rating	40.8 metric ton =	44.9 tons		
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]			w [4]		Design Load M	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 9600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 17622 Year 2036
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge foundation	is determined to be stable for the ass	ssessed or calculated scour condition. [8]			
Channel and channel protection		ted or well vegetated. River control d a stable condition. [8]	devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not			
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Equal to present desirable		desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 79.6			
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings		npected feature meets currently acce	ceptable standards. [1]			
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Not applicable or a safety feature is n	not required. [N]			
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Not applicab		Not applicable or a safety feature is n	not required. [N]			
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	Not applicable or a safety feature is n	not required. [N]			
Inspection date April 2017 [0	417] Desi	gnated inspection frequency 24	4 Months			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ection date November 2016 [1116]			
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical in				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date			