

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

West Virginia [54] Monongalia County [061] Blacksville [08092] 0.02 MI EAST CO RT 7/12 39-43-12 = 39.720000 080-12-30 = - 80.208333

00000000031A007 Highway agency district 4 Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]

Route 700 WV ROUTE 7 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected DUNKARD CREEK

Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 2212.4 km = 1371.7 mi

1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other [00] Year built 1933 Year reconstructed N/A [0000]

Skew angle 38 Structure Flared

Historical significance Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]

Total length 47.9 m = 157.2 ft Length of maximum span 45.7 m = 149.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.7 m = 25.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft

Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.2 m = 23.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m = 4.9 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]

Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]

Deck protection Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]

Type of membrane/wearing surface

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Inventory rating 34.2 metric ton = 37.6 tons

Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Operating rating 50.4 metric ton = 55.4 tons

Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	4400	Average daily truck traffi	7	%	Year	2008	Future average daily traffic	7500	Year	2028
Road classification	Principal Arterial - Other (Rural) [02]		Lanes on structure	2		Approach roadway width	7.6 m = 24.9 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway-pedestrian [5]		Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge						Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	4.31 m = 14.1 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	99.9 = Unlimited					Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by		Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	643000	Roadway improvement cost	617000	
	Length of structure improvement	58.5 m = 191.9 ft		Total project cost	1518000
	Year of improvement cost estimate	2009			
	Border bridge - state		Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number				

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for other load-capacity restriction [R]

Appraisal ratings - structural

Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Fair [5]

Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment

Better than present minimum criteria [7]

Condition ratings - substructure

Satisfactory [6]

Appraisal ratings - deck geometry

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - deck

Good [7]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Equal to present minimum criteria [6]

Status evaluation

Functionally obsolete [2]

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

61.8

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - transitions

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]

Inspection date

December 2010 [1210]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Unknown [N00]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Every two years [Y24]

Fracture critical inspection date

December 2010 [1210]

Other special inspection

Unknown [N00]

Other special inspection date