HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					03-80-35.33 =	081-04-37.49
West Virginia [54] Fayette County [019]		Unknown [00000] 3.92 Miles E. of US 19		4.343147	= -81.077081	
0000000010A253	Highway agency district 9	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 8200	COUNTY ROUTE 82	Toll On fro	ee road [3] Feat	tures intersected NEW RIVER	?	
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thru	Design - approach Stee 2 Trus	[3] s - Thru [10]	Kilometerpoint 741.7 Year built 1997 Skew angle 0	km = 459.9 mi Year reconstructed N/A Structure Flared	[0000]	
Total length 128.3 m =		pan 84.9 m = 278.6 ft	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-out	Bridge is not eligible for the state of the	he NRHP. [5] dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft
-	orizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Wood or Timber [8]	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $1.5 \text{ m} = 4.9 \text{ ft}$	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Type of membrane/wear	Wood or Timber [7]					
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]		tory rating 22.7 metric ton ating rating 38.1 metric ton		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Design	m Load MS 13.5 / HS 15 [3]		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 550 Average daily to	uck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 671 Year 2029									
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.34 m = 14.2 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Widening of existing bridge or other major structure without deck rehabilitation or replacement [33]	Bridge improvement cost 605000 Roadway improvement cost 496000									
Militar desk renabilitation of replacement [56]	Length of structure improvement 128.3 m = 421.0 ft Total project cost 1306000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2012									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]			
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for the asse	essed or calculated	scour condition	า. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well ve required or are in a stable con	egetated. River control de Idition. [8]	evices such as spur	dikes and emb	pankment protection are	not	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]		s evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection				ciency rating	61.3		
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date July 2012 [07	ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspecti					
•	Every two years [Y24]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date				