The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-47-06 =	080-43-12 = -
West Virginia [54] Marshall County [051]			Unknown [00000] 0.08 MI WEST JCT CR 21/3			39.785000	80.720000	
0000000026A052 Highway agency district 6			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			State Highway Ag	ency [01]	
Route 7400 COUNTY ROUTE 74 Toll On free road [3] Features intersected FISH CREEK								
Design - steel [3] main Truss - Thru	ı [10]	Design - approach Steel [Stringe	3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint Year built 1913 Skew angle 0 Historical significa	Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 67.7 m = 222.1 ft Length of maximum span 48.8 m = 160.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.9 m = 12.8 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.1 m = 13.5 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8] Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]								
Deck protection Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 1.9 km = 1.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Inventory rating Operating rating	12.6 metric ton = 17.1 metric ton =			
	Bridge posting	30.0 - 39.9 % belov	w [1]		Design Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 143 Year 2028							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 6.09 m = 20.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Danlessmant Dlane								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 521000 Roadway improvement cost 489000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 79.2 m = 259.9 ft Total project cost 1010000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	on ratings - superstructur Serious [3]		Meets minimum to	to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum to	to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Very Good [8]	deck geometry							
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	y Equal to present desirable cri	iteria [8]	Status	s evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficion	iency rating	20.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends								
Inspection date									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspection date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	al inspection date June 2010 [0610]		0]				
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	ear [Y12] Other special inspection date June 2010 [0610]							