HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						38-20-53.02 =	081-38-07.22	
West Virginia [54]	Kanawha County [039)]	Charleston [14600]	0.27 MI S OF US 60		38.348061	= -81.635339	
00000000020A901	Highway agency	y district: 1	Owner City or Municipa	l Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]	
Route #Num!	DICKIN	ISON STREET	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected WV 61, K	ANAWHA R, CSX		
Design - Steel [3]		Design - Stee	el [3]	'	n = 0.0 mi			
1 Truss - Thru	[10]		ss - Deck [09]	Year built 1936	Year reconstructed 19	990		
11uss - 11iii	u [10]	ŏ IIu:	22 - Deck [04]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the	e NRHP. [2]		
Total length 349.4 m	n = 1146.4 ft Leng	gth of maximum s	span 128 m = 420.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 12.6 m = 41.3 ft Bridge ro	padway width, curb-to-c	urb 11.8 m = 38.7 ft	
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	11.8 m = 38.7 f	Curb or sidewalk w	othorsion 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or s	idewalk width - right	2.1 m = 6.9 ft	
Deck structure type	Clo	osed Grating [4]						
Type of wearing surface		Latex Concrete or similar additive [3]						
Deck protection E		Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory			rating Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating 20 metric ton = 22.0 tons			
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2] Ope	erating rating 29.9 metric to	n = 32.9 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	ign Load M 18 / H 20 [4]			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 13729 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2015 Future average daily traffic 20075 Year 2035					
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 12.2 m = 40.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure						
Type of service under bridge Highway-waterway-rai	ilroad [8 Lanes under structure 8 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]					
Navigation vertical clearanc 21 m = 68.9 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 121.9 m = 400.0 ft					
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.65 m = 15.3 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature R	Pailroad beneath structure [R]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 2.7 m = 8.9	ft Minimum lateral underclearance on left 14 m = 45.9 ft					
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 6.71 m = 22.0 ft	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Meets minimum	n tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1000000 Roadway improvement cost 100000					
doterioration of madequate strongth [60]	Length of structure improvement 349.4 m = 1146.4 ft Total project cost 1100000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018					
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	oraisal ratings - Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations de	etermined to be stable for the ass	essed or calculated sco	ur condition. [8]				
Channel and channel protection	There are no noticeat	There are no noticeable or noteworthy deficiencies which affect the condition of the channel. [9]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Superior to present d	erior to present desirable criteria [9]		aluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection	Navigation protection not required [1]		cy rating 25.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N	J]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inped	cted feature meets currently acce	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approac	h guardrail ends							
Inspection date March 2017 [0317] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	ction date July 2	2017 [0717]				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:		h 2017 [0317]				
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special insp	ection date March	h 2018 [0318]				