HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

1992 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 38-39-54 = 080-42-12 = -														
West Virginia [54]		Braxton County [007]			Unknown [00000] 0.02 MI E			OF CO 19	DF CO 19/24			38.665000	80.703333	
0000000	00004A070	Highway	Highway agency district 7			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance response			sponsibility	State Highway Agency [01]				
Route COUNTY ROUTE 19/27					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected OLD WOMA				N RUN					
Design - mainSteel [3]1Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approach 0 Other [00]				Kilometerpoint Year built 1899 Year built 1899 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared						
								Historical	significanc	e Bri	idge is no	ot eligible for th	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length 30.8 m = 101.1 ft Length of maximum span 30.2 m = 99.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 3.7 m = 12.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.3 m = 10.8 ft									o-curb 3.3 m = 10.8 ft					
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.3 m = 10.8 ft				Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.1 m = 0.3 ft Curb or sidew				walk width - right	0.1 m = 0.3 ft					
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]														
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]														
Deck protection														
Type of membrane/wearing surface														
Weight Limits														
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating							Ir	nventory ratii	ng 12	.6 metric ton =	13.9 tons			
0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determine operating rating							С	perating rati	ing 19	.8 metric ton =	21.8 tons			
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % belo				w [3]			D	esign Load						

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 40 Average daily tr	Jck traffi0%Year1990Future average daily traffic44Year2010								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.6 m = 15.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Ige Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.91 m = 12.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost186000Roadway improvement cost182000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement39 m = 128.0 ftTotal project cost548000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]		ppraisal ratings - ructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]		praisal ratings - adway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]		ppraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	de	deck geometry							
Scour	Scour calculatio	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Superior to pres	sent desirable criter	ria [9]	Status evaluation Functionally obsole			[2]			
Pier or abutment protection				S	ufficiency rating	38.3				
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culv	ert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings		Inpected feature m	neets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature m	neets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	Inpected feature m	neets currently acce								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]										
Inspection date October 1991 [1091] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]		Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]		Fracture critical ins	inspection date October 1991 [1091]						
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]		Other special insp	her special inspection date						