HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						03-75-54.61	= 081-07-26.72
West Virginia [54] Fayette County [019]			Unknown [00000] 1.10 Miles E. of CR 25/1			4.265169	= -81.124089
0000000010A117 Highway agency district 9			Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility			nsibility State Highway A	gency [01]
Route 2500	COL	JNTY ROUTE 25	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected D	OUNLOUP CREEK	
Design - Concrete [1] main 1 Arch - Deck [1]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Year built 1917 Skew angle 0	Structure Flared	ucted N/A [0000]	this time [4]
Total length 15.1 m = 4 Inventory Route, Total F		ength of maximum sp	an 13.7 m = 44.9 ft Curb or sidewalk w	Historical significance Deck width, out-to-or ridth - left 0 m = 0.01	ut 5.5 m = 18.0 ft	ificance is not determinable at Bridge roadway width, curb-to Curb or sidewalk width - right	
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface		Not applicable [N]	es only to structures with no				0.011
Deck protection Not applicable (applie			es only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (appli			es only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Weight Limits Bypass, detour length 5 km = 3.1 mi		mine inventory rating mine operating rating Equal to or above k		Ор	, ,	metric ton = 13.0 tons metric ton = 30.9 tons	

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 500 Average daily tr	uck traffi 6 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 610 Year 2029								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 378000 Roadway improvement cost 198000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 21.9 m = 71.9 ft Total project cost 576000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2012								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficient	ncy							
Structure status Pos	sted for load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - super	ondition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically in	[3]				
Condition ratings - deck Not A		Applicable [N]	deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for the asse	essed or calcu	lated scour conditio	n. [8]		
Channel and channel pr	otection	Bank is beginning to slump. I minor stream bed movement	River control devices and evident. Debris is restrict	embankment ing the channe	protection have wid el slightly. [6]	espread minor damage. There is	S	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Superior to present desirable		Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection				:	Sufficiency rating	35.8		
Culverts Not applicable	e. Used if stru	cture is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features -	railings							
Traffic safety features -	transitions							
Traffic safety features -	approach guar	rdrail						
Traffic safety features -	approach guai	rdrail ends						
Inspection date Oct	ober 2012 [10 ⁻	Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Mo	onths			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspec	Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection Every		y year [Y12]	Other special insp		October 2012 [1012]		