HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							03-74-51.50 =	081-08-57.55
West Virginia [54] Raleigh County [081]		Unknown [00000] 0.02 MI S OF C0 119/14			4.247639	= -81.149319		
00000000041A080	Highway agen	cy district 10	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance	responsibility	State Highway Age	ncy [01]
Route 1900	US 1	9	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	Features intersect	ted BEAVER C	REEK	
Design - Concrete [1] main Arch - Deck [1]	1]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 2 Year built 1930 Skew angle 30	2233.3 km = 1384.6 Year rec	onstructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significand	ce Historica	I significance is	not determinable at th	is time. [4]
Total length 26.1 m = 8	5.6 ft Lei	ngth of maximum sp	an 24.4 m = 80.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-	-out 11 m = 36.1 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	arb 8.5 m = 27.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Ho	rizontal Clearance	e 8.5 m = 27.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 0.9 m =	3.0 ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.9 m = 3.0 ft
Deck structure type	N	Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface	N	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Deck protection	N	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/weari	ng surface	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to detern	nine inventory rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor	nventory rating	21.8 metric ton	= 24.0 tons	
1.3 km = 0.8 mi	Method to detern	nine operating rating	No rating analysis or	evaluation perfor	Operating rating	55.3 metric ton	= 60.8 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]		Design Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 13000 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 17810 Year 2029						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	mited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 650000 Roadway improvement cost 50000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 26.2 m = 86.0 ft Total project cost 700000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	Open, no restriction [A]		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]		Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the asse	essed or calculated sco	our condition.	[8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have n		ol devices and emban	kment protect	ion have a little minor d	amage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection				ncy rating 5	54.3		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpected fea	ture meets currently accep	ptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date							
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspection date					
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date Other special inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Otner special inspe	ection date				